	Page 360
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	x
	MELISSA KAYE,
3	
	PLAINTIFF,
4	
5	-against- Case No.:
	18-cv-12137
6	
7	NEW YORK CITY HEALTH AND HOSPITALS CORPORATION, ELIZABETH
	FORD, PATRICIA YANG, ABHISHEK JAIN and JONATHAN WANGEL
8	(said names being fictitious, the persons intended being
	those who aided and abetted the unlawful conduct of the
9	named Defendants),
10	DEFENDANTS.
	x
11	
12	DATE: February 3, 2022
13	TIME: 1:32 P.M.
14	
15	
16	CONTINUED DEPOSITION of the Plaintiff, MELISSA KAYE,
17	taken by the Defendant, pursuant to a Order and to the
18	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, held at the above date
19	and time, via videoconference, before Lisa R. Anzelone, a
20	Notary Public of the State of New York.
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	

	Page 361
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	LAW OFFICES OF SPECIAL HAGAN
	Attorney for the Plaintiff
4	MELISSA KAYE
	196-04 Hollis Avenue
5	Saint Albans, New York 11412
	BY: SPECIAL HAGAN, ESQ.
6	
7	
	CORPORATION COUNSEL OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK
8	GEORGIA M. PESTANA, ESQ.
	Attorneys for the Defendants
9	NEW YORK CITY HEALTH AND HOSPITALS
	CORPORATION, ELIZABETH FORD, PATRICIA YANG,
10	ABHISHEK JAIN and JONATHAN WANGEL (said names being
	fictitious, the persons intended being those who
11	aided and abetted the unlawful conduct of the named
	Defendants)
12	100 Church Street
	New York, New York 10007
13	BY: DONNA CANFIELD, ESQ.
	File #: 2019-032851
14	Control #: 22-0312
15	
16	
17	* * *
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 362

FEDERAL STIPULATIONS

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the counsel for the respective parties herein that the sealing, filing and certification of the within deposition be waived; that the original of the deposition may be signed and sworn to by the witness before anyone authorized to administer an oath, with the same effect as if signed before a Judge of the Court; that an unsigned copy of the deposition may be used with the same force and effect as if signed by the witness, 30 days after service of the original & 1 copy of same upon counsel for the witness.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections except as to form, are reserved to the time of trial.

19 * * * *

	Page 363
1	M E L I S S A K A Y E, called as a witness, having been
2	first duly sworn by a Notary Public of the State of New
3	York, was examined and testified as follows:
4	EXAMINATION BY
5	MS. CANFIELD:
6	Q. Please state your name for the record.
7	A. Melissa Kaye.
8	MS. CANFIELD: I just want to put on the
9	record to make a brief statement that we have not
10	yet received the transcript of Dr. Kaye's last
11	deposition that was taken two weeks ago and I had
12	a preliminary discussion with Ms. Hagan prior to
13	going on the record and she has stated that she
14	will forward to us a link that came from the
15	reporting company.
16	MS. HAGAN: No, I did not. I told her I
17	would give you what I had. You were insisting
18	upon the link. I said I would give you the
19	scanned copy of the deposition and that's what I
20	will provide.
21	Let's move forward.
22	MS. CANFIELD: Defendants are just concerned
23	that that might not be a certified original copy
24	so if you could send us the link for the court
25	reporting company that would be great.

	Page 364	
1	MS. HAGAN: You won't get a copy until I	
2	rectify whatever is happening with the court	
3	reporting company. I thought you already had it.	
4	It's clear today that you don't.	
5	MS. CANFIELD: There would be no reason for	
6	us to have it since you were supposed to provide	
7	it to us.	
8	MS. HAGAN: I would have given it to you if	
9	you would have asked for it.	
LO	MS. CANFIELD: It was Court Ordered as this	
L1	deposition is Court Ordered for me to provide a	
L2	copy today.	
L3	MS. HAGAN: We're here since 1:30.	
L 4	MS. CANFIELD: Ms. Anzelone, we may be going	ł
L 5	on and off the record if there's a lot of	
L 6	colloquy so I just want to let you know because I	-
L 7	do have one hour today and I don't want that hour	2
18	actually to be gobbled up by colloquy.	
L 9	Thank you.	
20	Q. Dr. Kaye, how are you today?	
21	A. (Indicating).	
22	Q. I'm sorry. I can't hear you.	
23	A. Good morning, Ms. Canfield.	
24	Q. Good morning good afternoon here but it's good	ì
25	morning where you are. You're in Albuquerque, New Mexico;	

	Page 365
1	is that correct?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. If you can just keep your voice up so the court
4	reporter can hear your responses that would be appreciated.
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. Dr. Kaye, do you know of any reason why you
7	cannot answer my questions today truthfully?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Okay. Do you know of any reason why you may not
LO	understand the questions that I ask of you today?
L1	A. If your question is clear I will do my best to
L2	answer it. If it's unclear I'll ask for clarification.
13	Q. All right. Terrific. That's fair enough.
L 4	In preparation for your deposition today
L5	A. I'm sorry. It's a snow day today so this is more
L 6	complicated than I had thought.
L 7	Q. Right. Okay.
18	And did you do anything to prepare for your
L 9	deposition today?
20	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
21	You can answer.
22	A. I I talked to with Ms. Hagan over Zoom.
23	Q. Did you speak to anyone else other than
24	Ms. Hagan?
25	A. No.

	Page 366
1	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
2	Q. Okay. I had just a couple of matters that I want
3	to follow up with you based on your testimony in your last
4	deposition. And one of those areas that I want to question
5	you on is your testimony, and, again, I don't have the
6	transcript here to read it to you, back to you but you did
7	testify that after or upon the merger with Correctional
8	Health Services which I will be referring to Correctional
9	Health Services or CHS, you stated or testified that your
10	administrative duties were taken away upon that merger.
11	Can you tell me what administrative duties were taken away?
12	A. Well, it was a gradual decrease and elimination
13	of any kind of administrative or operational authority or
14	input from me. It I was no longer I was deprived
15	access of the shared calendar repeatedly. Even my my
16	staff had access to it. I'd have to go to my staff to
17	to put in days that I might be out or change things that I
18	needed to change and I repeatedly was denied access to the
19	shared calendar so I couldn't really be involved in any
20	kind of administrative activities.
21	Q. Other than the shared calendar was there anything
22	else that you no longer had access to that you had access
23	to prior to the merger with CHS?
24	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
25	Could you allow Dr. Kaye to finish her

	Page 367
1	answer?
2	MS. CANFIELD: She's finished. I'm moving
3	on in the deposition.
4	A. Okay. I was denied access to Kronos and that's
5	with a C, Kronos with a C electronic time keeping system.
6	Q. I think Kronos is actually spelled K-R-O-N-O-S.
7	A. Okay. All right. Then it's with a K. Sorry.
8	My mistake.
9	And I was denied access to the i-Sight electronic
10	system for logging and accessing the court orders and the
11	reports.
12	Q. Anything else?
13	A. I was excluded from administrative decisions and
14	activities and meetings.
15	Q. Such as what?
16	A. Well, an example was due to Dr. Yain entering my
17	time incorrectly into Kronos I had a meeting with Jonathan
18	Wangel in September 7th, I think it was, 2018. I I was
19	down on Water Street with Jonathan Langhorn (phonetic), my
20	union, about these timekeeping irregularities that had
21	happened as soon as I started working for CHS. As soon as
22	I complained to the EEOC and about the private practice
23	policy
24	Q. Okay. I'm going to stop you right there because
25	your question is not responsive.

	Page 368
1	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
2	It is responsive.
3	MS. CANFIELD: It's not responsive.
4	MS. HAGAN: You're interrupting her as she's
5	trying to explain the adverse employment
6	actions
7	A. I was
8	Q. Excuse me. No, we're moving on. This is very
9	limited.
LO	My question was what were your administrative
L1	duties that were taken away? We're not talking about your
L2	time. I'm going to stop you right there and ask a
L3	follow-up question
L 4	MS. HAGAN: I'm going to
L5	MS. CANFIELD: Off the record, please.
L 6	(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was
L 7	held, after which the proceedings continued as
L 8	follows:)
L 9	Q. Dr. Kaye, you said you first testified that
20	you were denied access to the shared calendar. Did you
21	have access to the shared calendar prior to the merger with
22	CHS?
23	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
24	A. There was no shared calendar prior to the merger.
25	Q. Now, you also testified that you were deprived

	Page 369
1	access to Kronos. Did you have access to Kronos prior to
2	the merger with CHS?
3	MS. HAGAN: Objection to form.
4	A. There was no Kronos prior to the merger.
5	Q. And you also testified that you were deprived
6	access to the i-Sight electronic I'm assuming case
7	management system. Did you have access to i-Sight prior to
8	the merger with CHS?
9	MS. HAGAN: Objection to form.
10	A. Well
11	Q. It's a yes or no answer.
12	Did you have access to i-Sight prior to the
13	merger to CHS?
14	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
15	She can't just answer that question yes or
16	no.
17	Answer to the best of your ability or at
18	least rephrase the question, Ms. Canfield.
19	Q. Excuse me. You can answer.
20	A. There was no i-Sight prior to the merger.
21	Q. Okay. Thank you.
22	So my question however was in your last
23	deposition you said that your administrative duties were
24	taken away upon the merger but you just testified that you
25	didn't have these administrative duties before the merger;

	Page 370
1	is that correct?
2	MS. HAGAN: Objection. Form.
3	A. No, that's not correct. I was not allowed to
4	participate in activities like scheduling meetings,
5	planning of operational procedures. I was taken those
6	were taken away from me. I was replaced by Andrea Swenson
7	and Clarence Muir and Abhishek Jain. I was not
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. I was not at administrative operations or
LO	activities.
L1	Q. I understand now. Thank you. I understand now.
L2	So my question is: Were the other directors
L3	meaning the directors of the Manhattan court clinic, the
L 4	Queens court clinic, the Brooklyn court clinic, did they
L5	not answer to Dr. Jain?
L 6	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
L 7	A. Can you rephrase the question please?
18	MS. CANFIELD: Read the question back,
L 9	please.
20	(Whereupon, the referred to question was
21	read back by the Reporter.)
22	MS. HAGAN: And I objected to form and I
23	still object to form.
24	A. I can't answer the question the way it's posed.
25	Q. So let me rephrase it.

	Page 371
1	You said that Andrea Swenson was responsible for
2	some administrative duties; is that correct?
3	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
4	That's not what she testified to.
5	Q. May I ask you this: Did Dr. Jain oversee all the
6	court clinics?
7	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. Following the merger of CHS; is that
10	correct?
11	MS. HAGAN: Objection to form.
12	A. He started overseeing them before the merger
13	actually officially started.
14	Q. Okay. And Andrea Swenson, did she have a certain
15	amount of administrative oversight of all the court clinics
16	following the merger?
17	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
18	Answer if you can.
19	A. Andrea Swenson's role was to provide
20	administrative services and work with the directors in
21	administrative activities. She did not work with me. She
22	worked with the other directors but she excluded me. She
23	mocked me, she marginalized me and she undermined my work
24	ethic performance, that did not happen to the other
25	directors. I know for a fact. I talked with Dr. Winkler,

	Page 372
1	she was very differential to Dr. Winkler and
2	Dr. Monday man, who did she not impinge upon her
3	(inaudible)
4	Q. So no one so your administrative duties
5	weren't taken away, they were just reorganized had when
6	your clinic merged under CHS; isn't that correct?
7	MS. HAGAN: Objection to form. Objection to
8	form.
9	You are testifying.
10	And answer the question if you can,
11	Dr. Kaye.
12	A. That's a false statement. It's inaccurate. It
13	mischaracterizes what I experienced. I was marginalized.
14	My administrative authority was removed and I was
15	marginalized in participating in administrative discussions
16	and decisions.
17	Q. Okay.
18	A. To me it did not happen to the other directors.
19	Q. Okay. And you testified you base that on your
20	conversations with Dr. Winkler; is that correct?
21	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
22	A. I base that
23	MS. HAGAN: You can answer to the extent
24	that you can.
25	A. I I it was evident from the way that I was

	Page 373
1	spoken to, the way that I was mocked and teased and
2	whenever I tried to engage in a professional dialogue about
3	a serious administrative issue including Damian McClaren
4	getting seen about his attorney, I then got written up by
5	Andrea Swenson as a disruptive physician. Andrea Swenson
6	was directly responsible for administrative board
7	oversight. I had trouble I was being harassed with my
8	credentials for three months. Contemporaneously with the
9	Damian McClaren issue I asked her for her support and her
10	involvement if she was the administrator. She sabotaged me
11	credentially (sic). That didn't happen to other directors.
12	Q. Okay. I'm going to stop you there and move on.
13	I think you've answered my question.
14	So the next topic is: You testified that you
15	received a \$28,000 pay cut in 2019. What do you attribute
16	that alleged pay cut to?
17	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form and and
18	it mischaracterizes her testimony.
19	You can answer.
20	A. I was supposed to have a salary with my longevity
21	pay and my incremental pay increases to collective
22	bargaining, my final salary was supposed to be 207. NYCERS
23	confirmed that with me. I got a W-2 in 2018 that had a
24	final salary of 173 or something like that and then it
25	added another 20,000 for the retention bonus that all the

	Page 374
1	doctors got.
2	Q. Let me stop you right there, Dr. Kaye. I'm
3	talking about 2019, you just said 2018
4	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
5	Q. Hold on.
6	MS. HAGAN: You have to allow her to finish.
7	She never testified to the \$28,000 reduction in
8	2019 you confirmed that in your letter and now
9	you're trying to pose that same testimony on my
LO	client. She never said she got a reduction in
L1	2019. She said she
L2	MS. CANFIELD: Ms. Hagan, I believe
L3	MS. HAGAN: She said 2018 and 2019.
L 4	MS. CANFIELD: I believe you confirmed in
L5	your letter to Magistrate Judge
L 6	MS. HAGAN: I did not confirm that at all.
L 7	MS. CANFIELD: You did.
18	MS. HAGAN: I did not confirm that at all.
L 9	A. Can I correct the record, please?
20	Q. Yes.
21	A. I got I got a W-2 in 2018 and 2019 that reflected
22	an illegitimate pay decrease.
23	Q. Okay. And what do you attribute that
24	illegitimate pay increase to?
25	A. Retaliation and the ongoing surreptitious,

	Page 375
1	alteration to my time sheet by Dr. Jain and whoever he was
2	working with, Dr. Yang, all of these people. Jonathan
3	Wangel, as I was saying before, in that meeting I was
4	excluded from when I was downtown meeting with Jonathan
5	Wangel with my union in early September 2018 was about this
6	issue. I had been having pay discrepancy issues and I had
7	been dealing with it through my union to the point where I
8	then realized my union is failing to address this properly
9	because they were more interested in the codling an
10	appeasing Mr. Wangel and Ms. Yang than they were in doing
11	their job to defend me, a union member.
12	Q. So, Dr. Kaye, you said this was in September
13	2018?
14	A. It started no.
15	Q. Let me be clear. You said the meeting that you
16	had with your union was in September 2018; is that correct?
17	A. I noticed the pay decreases and changes as soon
18	as I got access to Kronos in August 2018. Setup a meeting
19	with Wangel?
20	Q. Okay. And how did you setup that meeting, did
21	you do that by e-mail with your union?
22	A. I don't know. My union set it up with Wangel.
23	He kept changing it but there is there are e-mails
24	confirming that that we met with him that day.
25	Q. Okay. And what was the result of meeting with

	Page 376
1	your union and Mr. Wangel?
2	A. My union raised the concerns about
3	Q. And what was the response?
4	MS. HAGAN: Well, objection. You have to
5	let her finish answering her question.
6	Q. Go ahead.
7	A. Mr. Wangel said he would look into it, into I
8	think he called it the Axial system (phonetic) at central
9	office and he would get certain paperwork for my union to
10	review and that never happened.
11	Q. Okay. Did your union ever follow up with Mr.
12	Wangel to make sure that he in fact followed up with the
13	information?
14	A. I had been following up with my union about the
15	pay discrepancies and the other issues contemporaneously
16	until I finally just gave up and resigned.
17	Q. Okay. When you followed up with them did you do
18	so by e-mail?
19	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
20	A. I
21	MS. HAGAN: You can answer.
22	A. I know I had a lot of phone calls with them so
23	there were definitely phone calls.
24	Q. Okay. If you followed up with them by e-mail did
25	you turn over all the e-mails in discovery?

	Page 377
1	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
2	You can answer if you can.
3	A. I well, I considered my union correspondence
4	privileged because my union wasn't being sued so I was I
5	wasn't going to I didn't divulge union communication.
6	I I mean
7	Q. Well, those communications are the subject of
8	this litigation and they should have been turned over so
9	I'm going to ask you to find those e-mails and turn them
LO	over to your attorney and I will write The Court to let
L1	them know that certain information has not been turned over
L2	in this litigation.
L3	Additionally
L 4	MS. HAGAN: I don't know if that's
L 5	necessarily true. I think Dr. Kaye has provided
L 6	all the e-mails that she has and if she was
L 7	corresponding on H&H servers then you defendants
18	would already have them, you would be able to
L9	produce them.
20	MS. CANFIELD: That is correct but
21	MS. HAGAN: Yes.
22	MS. CANFIELD: as for the record
23	MS. HAGAN: If she has them.
24	MS. CANFIELD: Excuse me. As
25	MS. HAGAN: Plaintiff

	Page 378		
1	MS. CANFIELD: Hold on. Hold on		
2	MS. HAGAN: Under her custody command and		
3	control. If Dr. Kaye no longer works there she		
4	may not have access to these e-mails. However,		
5	defendants do have access to the e-mails.		
6	MS. CANFIELD: Okay.		
7	Ms. Hagan, Ms. Hagan, please stop, please stop.		
8	Q. Dr. Kaye, there is a number of e-mails that we		
9	see based on the evidence that you forwarded to your Gmail		
10	account. I want to know if you searched your Gmail account		
11	and turned over all e-mail correspondence that you had with		
12	your union concerning any of the issues in this litigation?		
13	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.		
14	Answer if you can.		
15	A. That's a lot I mean, that's you're asking		
16	me a lot of questions.		
17	Now, could you please rephrase that because		
18	it's		
19	Q. Yes. I will rephrase.		
20	Did you communicate with your union using your		
21	Gmail account?		
22	A. Yes.		
23	MS. HAGAN: Objection.		
24	Q. Okay. Did you turn over any correspondence with		
25	your union using your Gmail account concerning any of the		

	Page 379
1	issues in this litigation?
2	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
3	A. When you say, "turn over", what do you mean?
4	Q. Provide to your attorney.
5	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
6	A. If I if I did I may have, I don't know, I may
7	have recapped phone calls and and typed that up in
8	e-mails and sent that to her. I don't know.
9	Q. Okay.
LO	A. I considered my representation by my union
L1	separate from my representation in this litigation.
L2	Q. It's not. If there are the same issues that you
L3	discussed with your union the same issues that you're suing
L 4	H&H over so to the extent that
L5	MS. HAGEN: I don't think
L 6	MS. CANFIELD: I'm talking,
L 7	Ms. Hagan, I'm talking
18	MS. HAGAN: I know you're talking.
L 9	MS. CANFIELD: Please do not interrupt me.
20	Ms. Court Reporter, please go off the record
21	now.
22	(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was
23	held, after which the proceedings continued as
2 4	follows:)
25	MS. CANFIELD: Back on the record.

	Page 380	
1	Q. Dr. Kaye, we're going to shift topics.	
2	You also testified that your staff was taken away	
3	from the Bronx court clinic. Can you tell me what staff	
4	was taken away from the Bronx court clinic?	
5	A. Yes. Elizabeth Ford started phasing Barry	
6	Winkler out of the court clinic in February right after our	
7	January meeting.	
8	Q. Anyone other than Dr. Winkler?	
9	MS. HAGAN: Let her finish answering her	
10	question.	
11	MS. CANFIELD: No.	
12	Q. Anyone other than Dr. Winkler?	
13	MS. HAGAN: Let the record reflect that Ms.	
14	Canfield is not allowing Dr. Kaye to finish her	
15	answers.	
16	Q. Anyone other than Dr. Winkler?	
17	A. When Dr. Winkler left the court services was	
18	non-operational.	
19	Q. That's not responsive.	
20	Anyone other than Dr. Winkler that was taken away	
21	from the Bronx court clinic?	
22	MS. HAGAN: Objection.	
23	A. He was taken away and not replaced.	
24	Q. Okay. But was anyone else taken away?	
25	MS. HAGAN: Objection.	

	Page 381
1	A. He was the only person. There are only two
2	evaluators. There was no one else to take away.
3	Q. Thank you.
4	In your last deposition you also testified that
5	you filed a complaint with the New York State Inspector
6	General's office and the Department of Justice in September
7	2019. Did you provide a copy of those complaints to your
8	attorney?
9	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
10	A. Two questions. You're asking me you're asking
11	me about the date. I'm not a hundred percent sure about
12	the date. I did file a complaint and there was no copy to
13	be had it was done online.
14	Q. It was done online. Okay.
15	And do you recall
16	A. DOJ I'm sorry. The DOJ complaint was done
17	online.
18	Q. Okay. And what about the New York State
19	Inspector General's office, how did you file that
20	complaint?
21	A. Online.
22	Q. So both were online?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Do you recall when you filled them out online?
25	A. I don't recall the date.

	Page 382
1	Q. Okay. When you completed your online complaint
2	did you receive any e-mail confirming receipt of those
3	complaints?
4	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
5	A. I don't recall getting an e-mail confirmation
6	from right after I sent it.
7	Q. Would you have any way of confirming the date
8	that you made the DOJ online complaint?
9	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
10	A. I don't have a way to confirm.
11	Q. Do you have any way to confirm the date that you
12	filed the New York State Inspector General's complaint
13	online?
14	A. No.
15	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
16	Q. Did anyone ever follow up with you from the
17	Department of Justice concerning your complaint that you
18	filed online?
19	MS. HAGAN: Objection to form.
20	A. I never got a phone call, no.
21	Q. Did anyone send you an e-mail?
22	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
23	A. I believe they sent me an e-mail and said they
24	were forwarding the complaint to the New York State IG or
25	AG, something like that.

		Page 383
1	Q. And the e-mail that y	ou received from the
2	Department of Justice, did you	send that e-mail to your
3	attorney?	
4	A. I don't recall.	
5	Q. Did you receive anyth	ing from the New York State
6	Inspector General's office after	er you filed a complaint with
7	them online?	
8	A. I don't recall that t	they contacted me.
9	Q. Do you know if there	was an investigation as a
10	result of the DOJ complaint tha	t you filed online?
11	MS. HAGAN: Obje	ection to form.
12	A. I do not know.	
13	Q. Do you know if there	was any investigation as a
14	result of the complaint you fil	ed online with the New York
15	State Inspector General's offic	e?
16	MS. HAGAN: Obje	ection as to form.
17	A. Could you repeat the	question?
18	MS. CANFIELD: 0	an you read that back,
19	please.	
20	(Whereupon, the	referred to question was
21	read back by the Repo	orter.)
22	A. No, I don't.	
23	Q. Okay.	
24	Is there any way that	Dr. Ford would have found
25	out about any of either the	DOJ or the New York State

	Page 384
1	Inspector General's office complaints that you filed
2	online?
3	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
4	She can't answer that. She doesn't have
5	firsthand knowledge as to how Dr. Ford would have
6	found out about those complaints.
7	You could answer.
8	A. I have no idea who could have found out or not.
9	Q. Okay.
LO	Would that be the same for Dr. Jain, you would
L1	have no idea whether or not he knew about the complaints
L2	you filed online with the DOJ and the New York State
L3	Inspector General's office?
L 4	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
L 5	A. I wouldn't know.
L 6	Q. Okay. And how about Dr. Yang, do you know if she
L 7	ever learned of the DOJ or the New York State Inspector
18	General office's complaint that you filed online?
L9	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
20	A. I wouldn't be surprised if she did.
21	Q. Okay. And why would you not be surprised if she
22	knew?
23	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
24	You can answer if you can.
25	A. Well, I think it's pretty clear from the the

	Page 385
1	irregular funding sources that have empowered her.
2	It's pretty clear that these that the irregular
3	funding sources of CHS have empowered her in a way that
4	gives her absolute authority and control in in a manner
5	that allows her to act with impunity and with legality and
6	I think she has a lot of political clout behind her. So,
7	yeah, if it was a politically embarrassing situation I I
8	can't rule out that they didn't call her. I don't know.
9	Q. Okay.
10	And what about Mr. Wangel (phonetic), do you know
11	if Mr. Wangel learned of the complaint that you filed with
12	the DOJ and the complaint you filed with the New York State
13	Inspector General's office online?
14	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
15	It's assuming that the employer already
16	knows if the complaint is actually filed, that's
17	the law,
18	Ms. Canfield. I'm not sure how you would know
19	whether or not my client would have firsthand
20	knowledge of that, that's the law though. You're
21	asking her to
22	MS. CANFIELD: Excuse me. I want her to
23	answer, please. No colloquy or we're a going off
24	the record.
25	Q. Dr. Kaye?

	Page 386
1	MS. HAGAN: Okay.
2	A. Please re-ask the question what's the question?
3	MS. CANFIELD: If you can read back my last
4	question before counsel's colloquy.
5	(Whereupon, the referred to question was
6	read back by the Reporter.)
7	A. I believe that he did know about the complaint
8	with the conflict of interest board which mirrored this
9	complaint with DOJ and IG. So, yes, I believe Dr. Wangel
10	knew about the DOJ complaint and that it mirrored each
11	other.
12	Q. Okay. So when you talk about the complaint with
13	the conflict of interest board are you referring to the
14	complaint you made regarding the private practice policy
15	that you testified to at your last deposition?
16	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
17	A. So I I'm referring to the complaint I made
18	about the conflict of interest violations of the prior
19	practice which I included in the DOJ and IG or AG, I'm not
20	sure which one, report.
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. They overlap, they overlap.
23	Q. Okay.
24	But you don't know definitively whether or not
25	Mr. Wangel was aware of the fact that you made these two

	Page 387
1	online complaints; is that correct?
2	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form. It's
3	suggestive, the question.
4	MS. CANFIELD: It's not a proper objection.
5	Q. You can answer.
6	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
7	A. I complained to Dr. Jain about it and directly
8	and I I'm certain that he would have reported it to
9	Dr Mr. Wangel.
LO	Q. You complained to Dr. Jain about what?
L1	A. About
L2	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
L3	A conflict of interest issues.
L 4	Q. Okay.
L5	A. Product and the private practice policy and I
L 6	complained to him about those in early July of 2018 and
L 7	Q. Okay.
L 8	A I complained about the complaint
L9	discrimination. And he told me that he was reporting me to
20	Mr. Wangel. He indicated I was in trouble and he was
21	reporting me to Mr. Wangel.
22	Q. Okay.
23	So, you also testified in your last deposition
24	that you're unable to get a medical license in New Mexico.
25	I want to know why are you unable to get a medical

	Page 388
_	
1	license let me back up.
2	Have you applied for a medical license in New
3	Mexico?
4	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
5	You can answer.
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. When?
8	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
9	A. When did I start?
10	Q. When did you apply for a medical license in New
11	Mexico?
12	A. I started about a year ago, last February.
13	Q. And when
14	A. A year ago.
15	Q. When you say you started, what is the process for
16	applying for a medical license in another state
17	specifically New Mexico?
18	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
19	A. There's different layers of the application. You
20	have to get all of your credentialing from your medical
21	school and your residencies and all of that has to be
22	compiled and sent to the licensing board, that's that
23	would be step one. So I hired an outside third-party
24	vendor, I think it's called FCSV to do that. I paid that
25	fee. Once that was done and completed then I was eligible

Page 389
to proceed with the actual New Mexico license process
internally that they had which involved filling out an
application and paying a fee and then obtaining letters of
recommendation and work verification forms and disclosing
any disciplinary actions that had been taken against me.
Q. Okay.
A. That was
Q. So
A phase 2. And so
Q. Let me stop you right there.
So have you completed phase one?
MS. HAGAN: Objection.
I would like to note for the record that
Dr. Kaye was interrupted yet again by
Ms. Canfield when she tried to answer her
question about the process.
MS. CANFIELD: Okay.
Q. Dr. Kaye, have you completed have you
completed phase one?
A. Phase one where I paid the third-party vendor to
get my credentialing from my med school and residencies,
that was done, and that's what made me eligible to go to
or made prompted me to go to the second phase which was
to fill out the paperwork for New Mexico and the
application and disclosing disciplinary actions and getting

	Page 390
1	recommendations and work verification forms.
2	Q. Now, did you get your recommendation forms?
3	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
4	A. I asked for the yes, I sent the recommendation
5	requests to the individuals I listed on my application.
6	Q. And did that individual provide the
7	recommendation form as part of the application process if
8	you know?
9	A. Three individuals.
10	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
11	A. I have to get it from three individuals.
12	Q. Okay. And have you gotten recommendations from
13	three individuals?
14	A. Yes.
15	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
16	Q. And who were those three individuals?
17	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
18	Answer if you recall.
19	A. Karen Stevenson, an MD, and I think I might have
20	actually asked four people. Hold on. Let me think. Alan
21	Geller (phonetic)
22	MS. HAGAN: If you don't
23	MS. CANFIELD: Hold on. She's
24	Q. Go ahead, Dr. Kaye.
25	A. Alan Geller, DO. I I think I might have

	Page 391
1	gotten one from Ellie Sherman Berman Cohen or Cohen Berman,
2	MD, and I think I might have gotten one from Dr. Donna
3	Anthony, MD.
4	Q. How do you spell her last name?
5	A. Anthony, A-N
6	Q. Oh. Anthony?
7	A. Yeah.
8	Q. All right.
9	And in terms of work verification did you secure
10	all the documents you needed for your work verification?
11	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to forge.
12	A. No, I can't.
13	Q. Why can't you?
14	A. Because CHS engaged in ongoing retaliation
15	against me including the manufactured disciplinary actions,
16	they're not going to fill out a form in good faith if they
17	fill it out at all.
18	Q. Hold on.
19	Have you asked CHS or H&H to fill out the work
20	verification form?
21	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
22	A. I think that that would be an enormous risk given
23	the level of retaliation I experienced at the institution.
24	They would be in position to fill it out without my knowing
25	what they write, send it to the board and and perpetuate

	Page 392
1	the false allegations of me being a disrupted physical and
2	of me violating HIPAA by recording a patient. I disclosed
3	those files malicious disciplinary actions to the medical
4	board, I had to disclose those, I will have to disclose
5	those for the rest of my career. It impedes and delays my
6	ability to work, my ability to get a license and my ability
7	to get a job because
8	Q. Hold on, hold on. I'm going to ask you about
9	that. I haven't asked you about that. I just for right
10	now I want to know, yes or no, did you ask H&H or CHS to
11	verify your employment?
12	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
13	Q. Yes or no?
14	A. No. I
15	Q. Thank you. Hold on. No
16	A. No.
17	Q. Did you ask hold on.
18	A. You're asking me no, I don't ask them to
19	verify my employment. They have to fill out a form and
20	rate me on my behavior and my performance. Who is going to
21	do that in good faith? Who is going to do that without a
22	conflict of interest and a retaliatory amicus? No one.
23	Q. Okay. Let me ask you this: Did you reach out to
24	anyone at H&H and let them know that you needed this work
25	verification as part of your licensing process in New

	Page 393
1	Mexico, did you reach out to anyone?
2	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
3	A. Who would I reach out to? Patsy Yang?
4	Q. Did you reach out to Dr. Badaracco (phonetic)?
5	A. It can't be from Bellevue, it has to be from CHS.
6	You're Bellevue is not CHS. They're asking for my last
7	employer which was CHS. I can't reach out to Dr. Badaracco
8	or Dr. (inaudible). I have to reach out to people who have
9	consistently retaliated against me in the most egregious
10	illegal way that you can imagine.
11	Q. Okay.
12	A. So
13	Q. I understand that but
14	MS. HAGAN: And you're asking if she reached
15	out during the middle of litigation.
16	MS. CANFIELD: That's fine. She can reach
17	out in the middle of the litigation.
18	Q. Dr. Kaye, I want to ask this: CHS is part of
19	Health and Hospitals Corporation, did you know that?
20	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
21	A. Well, that's pretty condescending. Of course I
22	knew that.
23	Q. Okay. So why couldn't reach out to Dr. Badaracco
24	who is also part of the Health and Hospitals Corporation?
25	MS. HAGAN: Objection.

Page 394

A. Because I have to follow the rules of the
licensing board and they are the rules are to reach out
to the agency that you were employed by within the last two
years. I wasn't employed at Bellevue, I was employed by
CHS. And I was told by Samantha Kent and William Soto that
they were going to expunge the disciplinary action from my
record, I was told that in a meeting with my union in
August 2019, and that never happened. And I and
and and then I received legal counsel from Douglas
Nadjari who I've mentioned this is the third time I've
mentioned his name. I mentioned it in a settlement
conference and I mentioned it in my redirect or my cross or
whatever that was. And and I was told by an attorney
who specializes in representing physicians in disciplinary
and licensing issues that I have to declare those bogus
allegations of being a disruptive physician and and
being violating HIPAA for recording a forensic exam, I
have to disclose those or I face potential sanctions on my
New York license.
So this is this has lasting impact on my
ability to get licensed, my ability to get jobs. I have to
disclose these bogus retaliatory actions that
Q. Okay.
Let me ask you a question: Were you formally
disciplined when you worked at CHS?

	Page 395
1	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
2	You can answer if you can.
3	A. I according to Dr according to my
4	attorney, my other attorney, I have attorneys for
5	specialized things, Dr Mr. Nadjari told me that they
6	used the word pre-termination, they used the word
7	discipline, you have to disclose.
8	Q. Okay. And did you receive a letter to file that
9	said that you that anything about pre-termination or
LO	discipline?
L1	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
L2	A. Katherine Pathos (phonetic) put that in her
L3	letter. Elizabeth Ford informed my union and I that I was
L 4	facing a pre-termination meeting
L5	Q. Let me stop you.
L 6	Are all the let me stop you.
L 7	Is any of that in writing
18	A. No.
L 9	Q that Dr. Ford is there something in writing
20	in your personnel file that says this is a pre-termination
21	meeting?
22	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
23	A. There's
24	Q. Yes or no, is there something in your file
25	A. In my personnel file? They put it in e-mails

	Page 396
1	they put it in e-mails and where I don't know if
2	Pathos's investigative report is in my personnel file or
3	not because I was told my personnel file was lost and
4	didn't exist anymore.
5	Q. Okay.
6	A. So I have no idea.
7	When I went down to look at my personnel file I
8	was told it didn't exist so
9	Q. Let me ask you this
10	A. This is the third I'm not going to risk losing
11	my license in New York.
12	Q. I understand. I have a question.
13	When you had the conversation with Samantha Kent
14	and William Soto in August 2019 you testified that you had
15	that with your attorneys from doctor's counsel; is that
16	correct?
17	A. No, no, I did not testify to that.
18	My union rep, I do not believe he was an
19	attorney, I do not believe my union rep was an attorney.
20	He never told me
21	Q. Okay.
22	A he was an attorney.
23	Q. Okay.
24	A. And I want to just think for a moment about the
25	timing of this. I it was if I said 2019 I was wrong.

	Page 397
1	It was 2018 because I it no. Wait. Let me let me
2	just think for a minute.
3	I was served the disciplinary notices which Ford
4	had told my union was pre-termination. I was served those
5	at a meeting in July 2019, right, so it was August 2019
6	that that my union and I went downtown to Water Street
7	and met with Kent and Soto to look at my personnel file.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. So it was in July 2019 I I got served the
10	disciplinary action and then we went you know, we went
11	and it took us a while to setup the meeting and then a
12	month later I went down, met with them, and was told by
13	Samantha Kent at that meeting that "department agrees to
14	expunge these" if "nothing else happens". And I asked her
15	who the department was and she said Dr. Ford and so there
16	was an agreement at that meeting between us that "us"
17	meaning everybody at the meeting including my union rep,
18	May Santamaria (phonetic), that if nothing else happened by
19	May of the following year
20	Q. Which is May of 2020?
21	A. 2020, it would be expunded and then
22	Q. Okay.
23	A if I agreed to that, I agreed my union
24	encouraged me not to write a rebuttal to the fabricated

allegations because CHS agreed to expunge them because they

25

	Page 398
1	were false and they were malicious and it was in their best
2	interest to do that. However, it was never done and I
3	never had a chance to write a rebuttal and then I
4	Q. Hold on, hold on. Let me ask you: How do you
5	know it was never expunged in May of 2020?
6	A. Because I was never contacted to confirm that
7	and
8	Q. Hold on, hold on. Did you contact anyone at H&H
9	to ask them if it had been expunged from your records?
10	A. I was
11	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
12	A. I can't I can't I I was told by
13	Mr. Nadjari that they can't expunge it, you never know who
14	has it and what they're going to do with it, you need to
15	declare these so it was moot, it was moot. The damage is
16	done.
17	Q. So what did Mr. Nadjari say about you practicing
18	in New Mexico?
19	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
20	This is protected by attorney/client
21	privilege.
22	MS. CANFIELD: It's not your privilege to
23	assert, it's her privilege. If she wants to
24	share, she can.
25	MS. HAGAN: I'm asserting it for her.

	Page 399
1	MS. CANFIELD: You can't do that.
2	MS. HAGAN: It's attorney/client privilege,
3	she's not going to disclose that.
4	MS. CANFIELD: She just disclosed
5	MS. HAGAN: She communicated with her
6	attorney, that's it.
7	MS. CANFIELD: She just disclosed what he
8	said.
9	MS. HAGAN: Ms. Canfield, that's improper.
10	MS. CANFIELD: She can disclose if she
11	MS. HAGAN: No, she doesn't wish to disclose
12	a conversation that she had with an attorney she
13	retained.
14	MS. CANFIELD: Then the attorney is going to
15	have to be an expert witness because I want to
16	know
17	MS. HAGAN: I know what you want to know but
18	she is not going to disclose
19	MS. CANFIELD: I'm moving on
20	A. I would like to answer
21	MS. HAGAN: No, no, Dr. Kaye.
22	MS. CANFIELD: Yes, she can answer.
23	MS. HAGAN: She's not going to answer the
24	question.
25	MS. CANFIELD: Are you being obstructionist?

	Page 400
1	Please.
2	Q. Go ahead, Dr. Kaye.
3	A. Under the advice of my attorney, Mr. Nadjari, I
4	disclosed the the retaliation disciplinary actions to
5	the New Mexico Medical Board as I disclosed to all the
6	headhunters who contacted me when asking me about
7	disciplinary history as I will have to disclose for the
8	rest of my life if I'm going to be in compliance with the
9	law and not have trouble with my New York license. Cause
10	if I fail to disclose that is more serious than the
11	retaliation or as serious as the retaliatory disciplinary
12	actions because the retaliatory discipline actions are
13	disciplinary actions and I'm required to disclose that.
14	Q. Okay. I have two follow-up questions. One: The
15	name of the headhunters, who are they?
16	A. I don't know the exact
17	Q. Do you have the agency?
18	A. I would have to get back to you on that. I
19	I they call me. I I I talk to them, I tell them
20	I'm interested, they ask me these questions, they say
21	they're going to get back to me after they review it with
22	their you know, with the agency looking for the doctor
23	and it's happened three times that they haven't after I
24	disclosed these.
25	Q. Okay. Another question: As part of this phase

	Page 401
1	two of the application process when you're required to give
2	recommendations, work verifications, any prior
3	disciplinary, are you required to disclose any litigation
4	that you've been involved in?
5	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
6	A. You're absolutely required to disclose any time
7	you've been sued for malpractice.
8	Q. Disclose malpractice?
9	A. Any time that you've been involved in a
10	malpractice case, yep, you have to disclose that. And
11	and given that I was given that I was disciplined in a
12	retaliatory manner in the context of this litigation it
13	it it's relevant to the disclosing of the of the
14	physician disruptive physical allegation in the HIPAA
15	violations allegation that Ford and Swenson made against
16	me. I can't disclose that without explaining that it was
17	retaliatory in response to me filing a lawsuit.
18	Q. Okay. So you disclosed your current civil
19	lawsuit to the headhunters and to on this employment
20	application; is that right?
21	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
22	A. That I was a victim of a retaliatory smear
23	campaign which included false and fabricated disciplinary
24	actions.
25	Q. Okay. And did you

	Page 402
1	A. Why was I the target of this? It's because I
2	asked for equal pay and I raised constitutional concerns
3	about
4	Q. Yes, I'm familiar with your litigation.
5	I have another question follow up. After you
6	disclosed your litigation did you receive any response
7	to from the medical board?
8	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
9	A. My application is incomplete.
10	Q. Why is it incomplete?
11	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
12	A. Cause I cannot obtain a work verification form
13	from an agency that has engaged in extreme and relentless
14	retaliation against me for over two years.
15	Q. But you haven't but you haven't asked them to
16	verify your employment, correct?
17	A. I did not.
18	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
19	Q. Why not?
20	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
21	Asked and answered.
22	Q. I want to hear it. Why not?
23	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
24	Asked and answered.
25	A. They won't fill it out in good faith because

	Page 403
1	Q. How do you know that. Hold on. How do you know
2	that? How do you know that?
3	MS. HAGAN: Okay.
4	Asked and answered.
5	You asked her these questions already, Ms.
6	Canfield, you've already asked her these
7	questions.
8	A. I'll tell you how
9	MS. CANFIELD: I heard your objection.
10	Q. Why not?
11	MS. HAGAN: Again, asked and answered.
12	MS. CANFIELD: Please,
13	Ms. Hagan.
14	MS. HAGAN: Well, you're asking
15	MS. CANFIELD: Off the record.
16	(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was
17	held, after which the proceedings continued as
18	follows:)
19	MS. CANFIELD: Please read back my last
20	question.
21	(Whereupon, the referred to question was
22	read back by the Reporter.)
23	Q. Dr. Kaye, how do you know that H&H would not
24	properly complete your work verification?
25	MS. HAGAN: Objection.

	Page 404
1	A. The best predictor of future behavior is past
2	behavior. Their past behavior has been nothing but
3	retaliatory, unlawful, vicious.
4	Q. Okay. My next question: Have you reached out to
5	anyone at H&H to determine whether or not they would
6	properly complete your work verification?
7	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
8	That would be improper of Dr. Kaye to
9	MS. CANFIELD: Excuse me. It's a question.
10	Q. Did you reach out? Whether it's improper or not
11	is a matter of law. Did you reach out to
12	MS. HAGAN: It's improper as a matter of law
13	so you're asking her to break the law?
14	MS. CANFIELD: No. I'm saying the
15	question is as to whether it is please stop
16	interrupting.
17	Q. Did you reach out to anyone, Dr. Kaye, about
18	whether or not they would complete your work verification?
19	A. I was told I'm not allowed to contact anyone at
20	H&H during this litigation.
21	Q. Who told you that?
22	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
23	Privileged.
24	Q. Let me ask you this: Did your attorney that you
25	hired in New Mexico for your credentialing, did they tell

		Page 405
1	you not to	reach out to H&H to determine whether or not
2	they would	complete your work verification properly?
3		MS. HAGAN: Objection.
4		That mischaracterizes her testimony. She
5		didn't say she hired an attorney in New Mexico,
6	s	she didn't.
7	Q	This David Nadjari, is he an attorney?
8	A. :	It's Doug.
9	Q. I	Doug?
10	A. I	D-O-U-G, Douglas, and Nadjari, I'll spell it for
11	you, it's l	N-A-D-J-A-R-I.
12	Q. 1	Right.
13	:	Is Mr. Nadjari an attorney?
14	A. 3	les.
15	Q. I	Oid Mr. Nadjari recommend that you not contact
16	your forme	r employer H&H to determine whether or not they
17	would prope	erly complete your work verification?
18		MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form and
19		attorney/client privilege.
20	A. I	My conversations with
21	Mr. Nadjar:	i are are privileged and I did disclose to you
22	in the spin	rit of cooperation that he told me that the
23	physician ·	the disruptive physician allegation is a
24	serious al	legation and I need to disclose it and I have.
25	Q.	Okay. But

	Page 406
1	A. And I need to disclose it. I
2	Q. But you're not answering my question. My
3	question is did he recommend that you reach out to your
4	former employer and let them know that you're applying for
5	a medical license in New Mexico and request that they
6	complete your work verification?
7	MS. HAGAN: I'm instructing Dr. Kaye not to
8	answer that question because that's protected by
9	the attorney/client privilege.
10	Please move on.
11	MS. CANFIELD: That's not your privilege to
12	wave.
13	A. Dr. Kaye
14	MS. HAGAN: No, it's my privilege to wave as
15	her attorney, yes, it is.
16	MS. CANFIELD: No.
17	MS. HAGAN: I'm instructing her not to
18	answer the question.
19	Q. Dr. Kaye
20	MS. CANFIELD: Thank you. Thank you.
21	Q. Dr. Kaye?
22	MS. HAGAN: She's not going to answer that
23	question.
24	A. I will answer that
25	MS. HAGAN: Dr. Kaye, please don't answer

	Page 407
1	that question. I'm your attorney. Please don't
2	answer that question. I'm asserting the
3	attorney/client privilege. Do not answer that
4	question.
5	MS. CANFIELD: You cannot assert it's not
6	your privilege, it's her privilege.
7	MS. HAGAN: It is my privilege to assert it,
8	I'm her attorney.
9	MS. CANFIELD: It's not. Please go back to
10	law school. It's her privilege.
11	MS. HAGAN: You go back to law school. I
12	graduated before you. You could call The Court
13	if you'd like.
14	MS. CANFIELD: She said
15	MS. HAGAN: She's not going to answer that
16	question, she's not. So if you'd like to call
17	Judge Cott we can. She's not answering that
18	question. Please move on.
19	MS. CANFIELD: Then she's precluded from
20	testifying about this at trial. If that's what
21	you want to do that's fine.
22	MS. HAGAN: No, you can't make you can't
23	make that determination.
24	MS. CANFIELD: Then she's precluded from
25	testifying to it at trial.

	Page 408
1	MS. HAGAN: You can't preclude her from
2	doing anything. You have to make the motion.
3	What are you talking about she's precluded? File
4	a motion. Go ahead.
5	MS. CANFIELD: Yes because
6	MS. HAGAN: Go ahead. Keep going.
7	Q. Dr. Kaye, you can respond.
8	MS. HAGAN: No, she's not going to respond.
9	Move on.
10	A. I was I
11	MS. HAGAN: Don't answer the question,
12	Dr. Kaye. I'm asserting the attorney/client
13	privilege.
14	MS. CANFIELD: Off the record. Off the
15	record.
16	(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was
17	held, after which the proceedings continued as
18	follows:)
19	(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
20	Q. Dr. Kaye, did you show the counseling memos to
21	Dr. Nadjari?
22	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
23	Attorney/client privilege. She's not
24	answering that question.
25	MS. CANFIELD: That's not privileged.

	Page 409
1	Q. Did you show
2	MS. HAGAN: It is privileged.
3	MS. CANFIELD: Excuse me.
4	Q. Did you show the counseling memos to Mr. Nadjari?
5	MS. HAGAN: I'm directing my client not to
6	answer that question.
7	It's privileged.
8	MS. CANFIELD: It's not privileged.
9	MS. HAGAN: It is. Well, we've got to move
10	on.
11	Q. Do you know if Mr. Nadjari reached out to H&H to
12	determine if the counseling memos have been expunged?
13	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
14	It's privileged.
15	MS. CANFIELD: It's not privileged.
16	MS. HAGAN: I'm directing her not to answer
17	the question.
18	Q. Did you reach out to H&H to determine whether the
19	counseling memos were expunged?
20	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
21	Q. You can answer, Dr. Kaye.
22	A. In the midst of litigation I was under the
23	impression that I'm not supposed to communicate directly
24	with anybody from H&H.
25	Q. Do you know whether or not

	Page 410
1	Ms. Hagan reached out to H&H to determine whether the
2	counseling memos were expunged?
3	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
4	Directing her no not to answer.
5	MS. CANFIELD: It's not privileged.
6	MS. HAGAN: I'm her attorney.
7	Privileged.
8	Q. So, Dr. Kaye, is it correct that no one reached
9	out to H&H to determine whether or not the counseling memos
LO	were expunged so you don't know
L1	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
L2	Q if they have been expunged
L3	MS. CANFIELD: Hold on.
L 4	Q. They may have been expunged
L5	MS. HAGAN: You're testifying, counsel.
L 6	You're testifying, counsel. You can't get the
L 7	answer you want so now you're testifying to make
18	a record.
L9	MS. CANFIELD: Ms. Hagan, please.
20	MS. HAGAN: Objection to form.
21	MS. CANFIELD: Off the record.
22	(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was
23	held, after which the proceedings continued as
2 4	follows:)
25	Q. Dr. Kaye, do you have a response to any of the

	Page 411
1	questions that I've asked you since we returned from our
2	break?
3	A. Can you please just
4	MS. HAGAN: Objection as to form.
5	Q. You can answer, Dr. Kaye.
6	A. Can we just please reiterate the question and
7	then I will because I'm a little it was a while ago
8	that you asked that question. Can you please just ask me
9	the question again?
10	Q. Dr. Kaye, do you know if your attorney reached
11	out to H&H about the work verification process?
12	MS. HAGAN: Objection.
13	Do not answer. That's attorney/client
14	privilege. Do not I'm instructing her not to
15	answer that question.
16	Q. You can answer. It's your privilege. You can
17	wave it if you want
18	MS. HAGAN: She cannot answer. She's been
19	instructed by her attorney not to answer that
20	question. You can't tell her to override her
21	attorney.
22	Dr. Kaye, do not answer that question.
23	THE WITNESS: Well, I'd like to answer
24	another question if I may.
25	Q. What would you like to answer? Please tell me.

		Page 412
1	A.	To your question.
2	Q.	Yes. Please go.
3		MS. HAGAN: Objection.
4		No.
5		MS. CANFIELD: Please
6		MS. HAGAN: No. You are not answering the
7		question. She's not
8	A.	I would like to correct the record. I'm sorry.
9	Q.	Yes, please correct the record.
LO	A.	Because you are referring to these as counseling
L1	notices.	They were disciplinary and that word was used and
L2	they were	the word termination or pre-termination and
L3	disciplina	ary were used so counseling mischaracterizes.
L 4	Q.	Okay. I understand what you're saying now.
L5		So what are you referring to that what
L 6	documents	are you referring to when you say they're
L 7	disciplina	ary, what specifically are you referring to?
18	A.	The documents that have that Ms. Pathos
L 9	generated	
20	Q.	Okay.
21	A.	and the two Dr. Ford had told my union was
22	pre-termi	nation, memos, warnings, whatever word you want to
23	use, the	content was was it was in the context of
2 4	discipline	e and termination and pre-termination, everyone
25	was using	that language. It can't just be redefined as

Page 413

- counseling so that people don't have to be responsible for --
 - Q. Dr. Kaye, what document -- Dr. Kaye, what document are you referring to? You said the Pathos memo. What document are you referring to from Dr. Ford?
 - A. She served me memos on July 1, 2019 in a meeting with my union and Clarence Muir that had very menacing language accused me of violating HIPAA for recording a forensic exam and accused me of being a disruptive physician for speaking to Swenson about her failure to contact an attorney, coming to exams and her failure to take my re-credentialing questions and obstructionism that I was getting from CHS seriously. Okay? So she wrote me up as a disruptive physician and she wrote me up accusing me of violating HIPAA. Okay?
 - Q. Were they --
 - A. They were disciplinary actions with me, they rise to the level of disciplinary, they weren't counseling. She didn't discuss -- she didn't come in there and have a conversation with me. When I tried to bring up the fact -- after she had read the Apple recording policy she -- she didn't even -- she didn't even know about it and when I told her that I thought the disciplinary actions that she was serving me were retaliatory and she turned her head and starting having like physical convulsions with her body. I

	Page 414
1	mean
2	Q. Dr. Kaye, hold on.
3	Were those the only two memos that you're talking
4	about that you believe are obstructing your ability to
5	achieve a medical license in New Mexico, the Pathos memo
6	and the July 1, 2019 memo from Dr. Ford, is there anything
7	else?
8	MS. HAGAN: Objection to form.
9	A. There were two disciplinary actions that I was
10	served by Dr. Ford and there was a third corporate
11	compliance investigative report that was the fifth was
12	biased, it was inaccurate. Ms. Pathos didn't even know
13	that two evaluators had to sit in at 7:30, that's how
14	clueless she was and she
15	Q. Hold on
16	A that I that I
17	Q. Dr. Ford, Dr. Ford, Dr. Ford
18	A. Discipline, that's what she wrote.
19	MS. HAGAN: She's
20	Q. Dr. Kaye
21	A. I should be disciplined. That's game over. I
22	have to disclose.
23	Q. Dr. Kaye, did Dr. Ford issue you two are you
24	talking about two disciplinary counsel what you are
25	characterizing disciplinary memos from Dr. Ford, one

	Page 415
1	concerning the memos and one concerning Ms. Swenson?
2	MS. HAGAN: Objection to form.
3	A. The way that she wrote, she said the way it
4	went she categorized my interactions and miscategorized,
5	grossly miscategorized my interactions with
6	Ms. Swenson, used the language consistent with writing up a
7	physician as being disruptive.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. It's a serious charge.
LO	Q. Okay.
L1	My question is did you show these to Dr. Nadjari?
L2	MS. HAGAN: We're going to have to end this.
L3	It's 3:00.
L 4	Q. Did you show these to Dr. Nadjari?
L5	MS. HAGAN: Okay.
L 6	A. I I
L 7	MS. HAGAN: Dr. Kaye, do not answer the
18	question. Do not answer the question. It's
L 9	attorney/client privilege.
20	MS. CANFIELD: She's answering the question.
21	You're obstructing.
22	MS. HAGAN: She's not answering the
23	question.
24	MS. CANFIELD: Whether or not she showed
25	them

	Page 416
1	MS. HAGAN: It's 3:00 p.m. and it's over for
2	us. We're going to have to
3	MS. CANFIELD: No, it's not over. I will
4	write to The Court requesting
5	MS. HAGAN: Go ahead.
6	MS. CANFIELD: She's claiming she can't get
7	her license but she's not testifying to what she
8	showed her attorney.
9	MS. HAGAN: She did. Well, she's not
LO	MS. CANFIELD: She did not. You told her
L1	not to answer.
L2	Q. I want to know did you show those counseling
L3	memos to your attorney?
L 4	MS. HAGAN: I'm sorry. This deposition has
L5	to end. It's 3:00.
L 6	MS. CANFIELD: No, it's not ending.
L 7	MS. HAGAN: Well, it is ending.
L 8	Goodbye.
L9	MS. CANFIELD: You're welcome to go,
20	Dr. Kaye can stay.
21	MS. HAGAN: Dr. Kaye is not going to stay.
22	A. Not without my attorney I cannot.
23	Q. Just answer the question. Did you send those to
24	your attorney?
25	MS. HAGAN: She's not answering the question

	Page 417
1	and she's not going to Dr. Kaye, please leave
2	the deposition.
3	MS. CANFIELD: Ms. Hagan, you're improper.
4	I just want to know if she showed them to her
5	attorney.
6	MS. HAGAN: She's not going to answer that
7	question.
8	A. I can answer that question.
9	Mr. Nadjari, I retained him, I paid him money to
LO	advise me on this matter. I am not going to speak about
L1	our private communications.
L2	Q. So how can he give you advice if you haven't
L3	showed him the counseling memos?
L 4	MS. HAGAN: She didn't say that she didn't
L5	show him the counseling memos.
L 6	MS. CANFIELD: Well, she's not responding,
L 7	it's an inference that she didn't tell him. I'm
18	assuming she didn't show him.
L 9	MS. HAGAN: You're testifying and stop.
20	Dr. Kaye, please leave, please leave.
21	Q. I'm assuming you did not show him the counseling
22	memos.
23	MS. HAGAN: Leave the deposition.
24	A. Because I am telling you he advised me on this
25	matter.

	Page 418
1	Q. Based on him
2	MS. HAGAN: It's enough.
3	Dr. Kaye, please don't say anything else.
4	Q. Based on him reviewing the counseling memos?
5	MS. HAGAN: I'm asking you to end the
6	deposition.
7	Q. Okay. So I so the assumption is that you did
8	not
9	MS. HAGAN: It's three o'clock.
10	Q. For purposes of your litigation you did not
11	disclose so you can up your damages, that's what we're
12	going to argue to the jury so you can go and but
13	that's
14	MS. HAGAN: You can argue, you can argue.
15	MS. CANFIELD: We're going to because you
16	have not
17	A. Let me just say a general statement.
18	Q. Yes.
19	A. I hired an attorney who specializes in defending
20	doctors in disciplinary and licensure matters and I
21	communicated with him. My communications are privileged
22	and I think that it would be ridiculous to assume that I
23	didn't communicate to him about what happened to me. I'll
24	leave it at that.
25	Q. So you didn't so is it ridiculous for me to

		Page 419
1	assume th	at you did not show him the documents?
2		MS. HAGAN: We're ending this deposition.
3	Q.	So you did not show him the documents?
4		MS. HAGAN: No, she did not testify to that.
5		Dr. Kaye
6	Q.	Then you did show him the documents?
7		MS. HAGAN: Dr. Kaye, I have to leave.
8	A.	You're inferring.
9	Q.	So I'll assume that you did not show the
LO	documents	•
L1		MS. HAGAN: Leave right now.
L2	Q.	So he was not able to give you proper legal
L3	advice?	
L 4		MS. HAGAN: She's not testifying to that.
L5		She's not testifying to that at all, counsel.
L 6		You're bullying this witness.
L 7		MS. CANFIELD: So the jury will just know
L 8		that you didn't fully disclose what happened.
L 9		MS. HAGAN: That's not the case. You're
20		testifying
21		MS. CANFIELD: No but you you're directing
22		your witness not to testify.
23		MS. HAGAN: I'm directing my witness not to
24		break the attorney/client privilege.
25	Q.	So okay. So you did not give him the

	Page 420
1	documents for him to make an intelligent decision?
2	MS. HAGAN: No, she didn't say that at all.
3	MS. CANFIELD: Because you're telling her
4	not to testify.
5	MS. HAGAN: This is attorney/client
6	privilege.
7	We're going to end this.
8	Dr. Kaye, I think
9	MS. CANFIELD: We will move to preclude
10	MS. HAGAN: It's 3:03, let's come on.
11	MS. CANFIELD: We will move to preclude any
12	testimony
13	MS. HAGAN: You can move.
14	MS. CANFIELD: on Dr. Kaye's inability to
15	get her medical licensure because she has not
16	fully disclosed
17	MS. HAGAN: She is not
18	MS. CANFIELD: She testified that she
19	mislead her attorney in legal advice
20	A. I didn't say
21	MS. HAGAN: She testified that
22	THE WITNESS: I told her my
23	Q. What did you tell him then? Did you show him the
24	documents?
25	MS. HAGAN: You can't keep a straight face,

		Page 421
1		you can't even keep a straight face. You're
2		it's ridiculous. Are you kidding me?
3		Dr. Kaye, let's go, let's go.
4	A.	At least I get to end with a laugh.
5		MS. CANFIELD: I'll take it up with The
6		Court because you refuse to tell me.
7		MS. HAGAN: I have to go. I have another
8		call. I have to go clearly. Let's go.
9		MS. CANFIELD: Okay.
10		I'll bring it to The Court.
11		Thank you.
12		It's a 24 hour turn around.
13		Ms. Hagan, I need your transcript. You are
14		ordered and it's been two weeks, you have not
15		produced it and 24 hour turnaround for this one,
16		Ms. Anzelone.
17		(Whereupon, at 3:04 P.M., the Examination of
18		this witness was concluded.)
19		
20		
21		0 0 0
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Page 422
1	DECLARATION
2	
3	I hereby certify that having been first duly
4	sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony.
5	
6	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript
7	is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by
8	me at the time and place specified hereinbefore.
9	
10	
11	
12	MELISSA KAYE
13	
14 15	Subscribed and sworn to before me
16	this day of 20 .
17	day or zo
18	
19	NOTARY PUBLIC
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 423
1	EXHIBITS
2	
3	(None)
4	
5	INDEX
6	
7	EXAMINATION BY PAGE
8	MS. CANFIELD 363
9	
10	
11	INFORMATION AND/OR DOCUMENTS REQUESTED
12	INFORMATION AND/OR DOCUMENTS PAGE
13	(None)
14	
15	
16	QUESTIONS MARKED FOR RULINGS
17	PAGE LINE QUESTION
18	(None)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 424
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)
	: SS.:
4	COUNTY OF NASSAU)
5	
6	I, LISA R. ANZELONE, a Notary Public for and
7	within the State of New York, do hereby certify:
8	That the witness whose examination is
9	hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn and that such
10	examination is a true record of the testimony given by that
11	witness.
12	I further certify that I am not related to any
13	of the parties to this action by blood or by marriage and
14	that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this
15	matter.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
17	this 4th day of February 2022.
18	
19	Lisa R. Myclone
20	pasa K. graza
	LISA R. ANZELONE
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

				Page 425
			RRATA SHEET	~
		VERITEXT/NEW	W YORK REPORTING, LL	C
			New York City Health	& Hospital Corp.
		SITION: 2/3/2022 AME: Melissa Kaye		
PF	AGE LINE	(S) CHANC	JE RE	ASON
	I	_1	I	
	I	_I	I	
	ı	I	ı	
	_'		· .	
	_1	_1		
	_1	_1		
	1		ı	
	_'	_'	'	
	I	_I	I	
	I	_I	11	
	1	ı	ı	
	'	_!	'I	
	_1	_I	I	
	_1	_1	I	
		I		
	_		·	
	' !	_1		
_	'	_1		

[& - answer] Page 1

&	24357 424:20	achieve 414:5	agreed 362:4,15
& 362:13 425:2	28,000 373:15	act 385:5	397:23,23,25
1	374:7	action 394:6	agreement 397:16
	3	397:10 424:13	agrees 397:13
1 362:13 413:6	3 360:12	actions 368:6	ahead 376:6
414:6	30 362:12	389:5,25 391:15	390:24 400:2
100 361:12	363 423:8	392:3 394:22	408:4,6 416:5
10007 361:12	3:00 415:13 416:1	400:4,12,12,13	aided 360:8
11412 361:5	416:15	401:24 413:17,23	361:11
12137 360:5	3:03 420:10	414:9	alan 390:20,25
173 373:24	3:04 421:17	activities 366:20	albans 361:5
18 360:5	4	367:14 370:4,10	albuquerque
196-04 361:4		371:21	364:25
1:30 364:13	4th 424:17	actual 389:1	allegation 401:14
1:32 360:13	7	added 373:25	401:15 405:23,24
2	7:30 414:13	additionally	allegations 392:1
2 373:23 374:21	7th 367:18	377:13	394:16 397:25
389:9	a	address 375:8	alleged 373:16
2/3/2022 425:3		administer 362:9	allow 366:25
20 422:16 425:22	abetted 360:8	administrative	374:6
20,000 373:25	361:11	366:10,11,13,20	allowed 370:3
2018 367:18	abhishek 360:7	367:13 368:10	404:19
373:23 374:3,13	361:10 370:7	369:23,25 370:9	allowing 380:14
374:21 375:5,13	ability 369:17	371:2,15,20,21	allows 385:5
375:16,18 387:16	392:6,6,6 394:21	372:4,14,15 373:3	alteration 375:1
397:1	394:21 414:4	373:6	amicus 392:22
2019 373:15 374:3	able 377:18	administrator	amount 371:15
374:8,11,13,21	419:12	373:10	andrea 370:6
381:7 394:8	absolute 385:4	adverse 368:5	371:1,14,19 373:5
396:14,25 397:5,5	absolutely 401:6	advice 400:3	373:5
397:9 413:6 414:6	access 366:15,16	417:12 419:13	answer 365:7,12
2019-032851	366:18,22,22	420:19	365:21 367:1
361:13	367:4,9 368:20,21	advise 417:10	369:11,15,17,19
2020 397:20,21	369:1,1,6,7,12	advised 417:24	370:15,24 371:18
398:5	375:18 378:4,5	afternoon 364:24	372:10,23 373:19
2022 360:12	accessing 367:10	ag 382:25 386:19	376:21 377:2
424:17	account 378:10,10	agency 394:3	378:14 384:4,7,24
207 373:22	378:21,25	400:17,22 402:13	385:23 387:5
22-0312 361:14	accused 413:8,9	ago 363:11 388:12	388:5 389:15
24 421:12,15	accusing 413:14	388:14 411:7	390:18 395:2
, -			399:20,22,23

[answer - canfield] Page 2

406:8,18,22,24,25	411:8	authorized 362:8	brief 363:9
407:2,3,15 408:11	asking 378:15	avenue 361:4	bring 413:20
409:6,16,21 410:4	381:10,10 385:21	aware 386:25	421:10
410:17 411:5,13	392:18 393:6,14	axial 376:8	bronx 380:3,4,21
411:15,16,18,19	400:6 403:14	b	brooklyn 370:14
411:22,23,25	404:13 418:5		bullying 419:16
415:17,18 416:11	assert 398:23	b 423:1	c
416:23 417:6,8	407:5,7	back 366:6 370:18	
answered 373:13	asserting 398:25	370:21 379:25	c 361:1 367:5,5
402:21,24 403:4	407:2 408:12	383:18,21 386:3,6	422:1 424:1,1
403:11	assume 418:22	388:1 400:18,21	calendar 366:15
answering 376:5	419:1,9	403:19,22 407:9 407:11	366:19,21 368:20 368:21,24
380:9 406:2	assuming 369:6	badaracco 393:4,7	call 382:20 385:8
407:17 408:24	385:15 417:18,21	393:23	400:19 407:12,16
412:6 415:20,22	assumption 418:7	bargaining 373:22	421:8
416:25	attorney 361:3	barry 380:5	called 363:1 376:8
answers 380:15	373:4 377:10	base 372:19,22	388:24
anthony 391:3,5,6	379:4 381:8 383:3	based 366:3 378:9	calls 376:22,23
anybody 409:24	394:13 395:4,4	418:1,4	379:7
anymore 396:4	396:19,19,22	behavior 392:20	campaign 401:23
anzelone 360:19	398:20 399:2,6,12	404:1,2,2	canfield 361:13
364:14 421:16	399:14 400:3	believe 374:12,14	363:5,8,22 364:5
424:6,20	404:24 405:5,7,13	382:23 386:7,9	364:10,14,23
appeasing 375:10	405:19 406:9,15	396:18,19 414:4	367:2 368:3,15
apple 413:21	407:1,3,8 408:12	bellevue 393:5,6	369:18 370:18
application 388:19	408:23 410:6	394:4	374:12,14,17
389:3,25 390:5,7	411:10,13,19,21	berman 391:1,1	377:20,22,24
401:1,20 402:9	413:11 415:19	best 365:11 369:17	378:1,6 379:16,19
applied 388:2	416:8,13,22,24	398:1 404:1	379:25 380:11,14
apply 388:10	417:5 418:19	biased 414:12	383:18 385:18,22
applying 388:16 406:4	419:24 420:5,19	blood 424:13	386:3 387:4
	attorneys 361:8	board 373:6 386:8	389:15,17 390:23
appreciated 365:4 areas 366:4	395:4 396:15	386:13 388:22	393:16 398:22
	attribute 373:15 374:23	391:25 392:4	399:1,4,7,9,10,14
argue 418:12,14 418:14		394:2 400:5 402:7	399:19,22,25
asked 364:9 373:9	august 375:18 394:8 396:14	body 413:25	403:6,9,12,15,19
390:4,20 391:19	394:8 390:14	bogus 394:15,22	404:9,14 406:11
390.4,20 391.19	authority 366:13	bonus 373:25	406:16,20 407:5,9
402:2,15,21,24	372:14 385:4	break 404:13	407:14,19,24
402:2,13,21,24	312.17 303.7	411:2 419:24	408:5,14,25 409:3
700.7,0,0,11 711.1			409:8,15 410:5,13

[canfield - conversations]

		Page 3
ınication	conduct	360:8

410:19,21 412:5 415:20,24 416:3,6	civil 360:18 401:18	communication 377:5	conduct 360:8 361:11
415.20,24 410.3,0	claiming 416:6	communications	conference 394:12
417:3,16 418:15	clarence 370:7	377:7 417:11	confirm 374:16,18
417.3,10 418.13	413:7	418:21	382:10,11 398:6
The state of the s	clarification		confirmation
420:11,14,18		company 363:15 363:25 364:3	
421:5,9 423:8	365:12		382:5
career 392:5	clear 364:4 365:11	compiled 388:22	confirmed 373:23
case 360:5 369:6	375:15 384:25	complained	374:8,14
401:10 419:19	385:2	367:22 387:7,10	confirming 375:24
425:2	clearly 421:8	387:16,18	382:2,7
categorized 415:4	client 374:10	complaint 381:5	conflict 386:8,13
cause 400:9	385:19 398:20	381:12,16,20	386:18 387:13
402:12	399:2 405:19	382:1,8,12,17,24	392:22
central 376:8	406:9 407:3	383:6,10,14	considered 377:3
certain 371:14	408:12,23 409:5	384:18 385:11,12	379:10
376:9 377:11	411:13 415:19	385:16 386:7,9,10	consistent 415:6
387:8	419:24 420:5	386:12,14,17	consistently 393:9
certification 362:6	clinic 370:13,14,14	387:18	constitutional
certified 363:23	372:6 380:3,4,6,21	complaints 381:7	402:2
certify 422:3,6	clinics 371:6,15	382:3 384:1,6,11	contact 398:8
424:7,12	clout 385:6	387:1	404:19 405:15
chance 398:3	clueless 414:14	complete 403:24	413:11
change 366:17,18	codling 375:9	404:6,18 405:2,17	contacted 383:8
425:5	cohen 391:1,1	406:6	398:6 400:6
changes 375:17	collective 373:21	completed 382:1	contemporaneou
changing 375:23	colloquy 364:16	388:25 389:11,18	373:8 376:15
characterizing	364:18 385:23	389:19	content 412:23
414:25	386:4	compliance 400:8	context 401:12
charge 415:9	come 413:19	414:11	412:23
chs 366:9,23	420:10	complicated	continued 360:16
367:21 368:22	coming 413:11	365:16	368:17 379:23
369:2,8,13 371:9	command 378:2	concerned 363:22	403:17 408:17
372:6 385:3	commission	concerning 378:12	410:23
391:14,19 392:10	425:25	378:25 382:17	control 361:14
393:5,6,7,18 394:5	communicate	415:1,1	378:3 385:4
394:25 397:25	378:20 409:23	concerns 376:2	conversation
413:13	418:23	402:2	396:13 399:12
church 361:12	communicated	concluded 421:18	413:20
city 360:7 361:7,9	399:5 418:21	condescending	conversations
425:2		393:21	372:20 405:20

Diamond Reporting A Veritext Company

[convulsions - discussed]

Page 4

convulsions	364:2,10,11 365:3	decreases 375:17	directors 370:12
413:25	367:10 370:13,14	defend 375:11	370:13 371:20,22
cooperation	370:14 371:6,15	defendant 360:17	371:25 372:18
405:22	377:10 379:20	defendants 360:9	373:11
copy 362:10,13	380:3,4,6,17,21	360:10 361:8,11	disciplinary 389:5
363:19,23 364:1	407:12 416:4	363:22 377:17	389:25 391:15
364:12 381:7,12	421:6,10	378:5	392:3 394:6,14
corp 425:2	credentialing	defending 418:19	397:3,10 400:4,7
corporate 414:10	388:20 389:21	definitely 376:23	400:11,13 401:3
corporation 360:7	404:25 413:12	definitively	401:23 412:11,13
361:7,9 393:19,24	credentially	386:24	412:17 413:17,18
correct 365:1,2	373:11	delays 392:5	413:23 414:9,24
370:1,3 371:2,10	credentials 373:8	denied 366:18	414:25 418:20
372:6,20 374:19	cross 394:12	367:4,9 368:20	discipline 395:7
375:16 377:20	current 401:18	department 381:6	395:10 400:12
387:1 396:16	custody 378:2	382:17 383:2	412:24 414:18
402:16 410:8	cut 373:15,16	397:13,15	disciplined 394:25
412:8,9 422:7	cv 360:5	deposition 360:16	401:11 414:21
correctional 366:7	d	362:6,7,11 363:11	disclose 392:4,4
366:8	d 362:1 405:10,11	363:19 364:11	394:18,22 395:7
correspondence	422:1 423:5	365:14,19 366:4	399:3,10,11,18
377:3 378:11,24	damage 398:15	367:3 369:23	400:7,10,13 401:3
corresponding	damages 418:11	381:4 386:15	401:6,8,10,16
377:17	damian 373:3,9	387:23 416:14	405:21,24 406:1
cott 407:17	date 360:12,18	417:2,23 418:6	414:22 418:11
counsel 361:7	381:11,12,25	419:2 425:3	419:18
362:5,13 394:9	382:7,11 425:3	deprived 366:14	disclosed 392:2
396:15 410:15,16	david 405:7	368:25 369:5	399:4,7 400:4,5,24
414:24 419:15	day 365:15 375:24	determination	401:18 402:6
counsel's 386:4	422:16 424:17	407:23	420:16
counseling 408:20	425:22	determine 404:5	disclosing 389:4
409:4,12,19 410:2	days 362:12	405:1,16 409:12	389:25 401:13
410:9 412:10,13	366:17	409:18 410:1,9	discovery 376:25
413:1,18 416:12	dealing 375:7	dialogue 373:2	discrepancies
417:13,15,21	decision 420:1	different 388:19	376:15
418:4	decisions 367:13	differential 372:1	discrepancy 375:6
county 424:4	372:16	directing 409:5,16	discrimination
couple 366:2	declare 394:15	410:4 419:21,23	387:19
course 393:21	398:15	directly 373:6	discuss 413:19
court 360:1	decrease 366:12	387:7 409:23	discussed 379:13
362:10 363:24	374:22		

[discussion - facing]

Page 5

discussion 363:12	377:15 378:3,8	early 375:5 387:16	everybody 397:17
368:16 379:22	380:1,8,12,14,16	eeoc 367:22	evidence 378:9
403:16 408:16	380:17,20 383:24	effect 362:9,11	evident 372:25
410:22	384:5,10,16	egregious 393:9	exact 400:16
discussions 372:15	, ,	either 383:25	exact 400.10 exam 394:17
	385:25 386:9		
disrupted 392:1	387:7,9,10 389:14	electronic 367:5,9	413:9
disruptive 373:5	389:18 390:24	369:6	examination 363:4
394:16 401:14	391:2 393:4,7,8,18	eligible 388:25	421:17 423:7
405:23 413:9,14	393:23 395:3,5,19	389:22	424:8,10
415:7	397:15 399:21	elimination	examined 363:3
district 360:1,1	400:2 403:23	366:12	example 367:16
divulge 377:5	404:8,17 406:7,13	elizabeth 360:7	exams 413:11
doctor 400:22	406:19,21,25	361:9 380:5	excluded 367:13
doctor's 396:15	408:7,12,20,21	395:13	371:22 375:4
doctors 374:1	409:21 410:8,25	ellie 391:1	excuse 368:8
418:20	411:5,10,22	embarrassing	369:19 377:24
document 413:3,4	412:21 413:3,3,5	385:7	385:22 404:9
413:5	414:2,6,10,17,17	employed 394:3,4	409:3
documents 391:10	414:17,20,23,23	394:4	exist 396:4,8
412:16,18 419:1,3	414:25 415:11,14	employer 385:15	experienced
419:6,10 420:1,24	415:17 416:20,21	393:7 405:16	372:13 391:23
423:11,12	417:1,20 418:3	406:4	expert 399:15
doing 375:10	419:5,7 420:8,14	employment 368:5	expires 425:25
408:2	421:3	392:11,19 401:19	explain 368:5
doj 381:16,16	due 367:16	402:16	explaining 401:16
382:8 383:10,25	duly 363:2 422:3	empowered 385:1	expunge 394:6
384:12,17 385:12	424:9	385:3	397:14,25 398:13
386:9,10,19	duties 366:10,11	encouraged	expunged 397:21
donna 361:13	368:11 369:23,25	397:24	398:5,9 409:12,19
391:2	371:2 372:4	engage 373:2	410:2,10,12,14
doug 405:8,9	e	engaged 391:14	extent 372:23
douglas 394:9		402:13	379:14
405:10	e 361:1,1 362:1,1	enormous 391:22	extreme 402:13
downtown 375:4	363:1,1 375:21,23	entering 367:16	f
397:6	376:18,24,25	equal 402:2	
dr 363:10 364:20	377:9,16 378:4,5,8	errata 425:1	f 362:1 424:1
365:6 366:25	378:11 379:8	esq 361:5,8,13	fabricated 397:24
367:16 368:19	382:2,5,21,23	ethic 371:24	401:23
370:15 371:5,25	383:1,2 395:25	evaluators 381:2	face 394:18 420:25
370:13 371:3,23	396:1 422:1 423:1	414:13	421:1
374:2 375:1,2,12	423:5 424:1,1	111113	facing 395:14
317.2313.1,2,12			
		Danartina	•

[fact - great] Page 6

fact 371:25 376:12	finish 366:25	383:11,16 384:19	413:13
386:25 413:20	374:6 376:5 380:9	386:16 387:2	give 363:17,18
fail 400:10	380:14	388:4,18 390:3,7	401:1 417:12
failing 375:8	finished 367:2	391:16,20,21	419:12,25
failure 413:10,11	first 363:2 368:19	392:12,19 393:20	given 364:8
fair 365:13	422:3	395:1,11 401:5	391:22 401:11,11
faith 391:16	firsthand 384:5	402:12 405:18	422:7 424:10
392:21 402:25	385:19	409:20 410:20	gives 385:4
false 372:12 392:1	follow 366:3	411:4 414:8 415:2	gmail 378:9,10,21
398:1 401:23	368:13 376:11	formally 394:24	378:25
familiar 402:4	382:16 394:1	former 405:16	go 366:16 376:6
fcsv 388:24	400:14 402:5	406:4	379:20 389:22,23
february 360:12	followed 376:12	forms 389:4 390:1	390:24 400:2
380:6 388:12	376:17,24	390:2	407:9,11 408:4,6
424:17	following 371:9,16	forth 424:9	412:2 416:5,19
federal 360:18	376:14 397:19	forward 363:14,21	418:12 421:3,3,7,8
fee 388:25 389:3	follows 363:3	forwarded 378:9	421:8
fictitious 360:8	368:18 379:24	forwarding	gobbled 364:18
361:10	403:18 408:18	382:24	going 363:13
fifth 414:11	410:24	found 383:24	364:14 367:24
file 361:13 381:12	force 362:11	384:6,8	368:12,14 373:12
381:19 395:8,20	ford 360:7 361:9	four 390:20	377:5,9 380:1
395:24,25 396:2,3	380:5 383:24	fully 419:18	385:23 391:16
396:7 397:7 408:3	384:5 395:13,19	420:16	392:8,20,21 394:6
filed 381:5 382:12	397:3,15 401:15	funding 385:1,3	396:10 398:14
382:18 383:6,10	412:21 413:5	further 362:15	399:3,14,18,23
383:14 384:1,12	414:6,10,17,17,17	422:6 424:12	400:8,21 406:22
384:18 385:11,12	414:23,25	future 404:1	407:15 408:6,8
385:16	foregoing 422:6	g	415:12 416:2,21
files 392:3	forensic 394:17	g 405:10	417:1,6,10 418:12
filing 362:6 401:17	413:9	game 414:21	418:15 420:7
fill 389:24 391:16	forge 391:11	geller 390:21,25	good 364:23,24,24
391:17,19,24	form 362:16	general 384:18	364:24 391:16
392:19 402:25	368:23 369:3,9	418:17	392:21 402:25
filled 381:24	370:2,16,22,23	general's 381:6,19	goodbye 416:18
filling 389:2	371:3,11,17 372:7	382:12 383:6,15	gotten 390:12
final 373:22,24	372:8 373:17	384:1,13 385:13	391:1,2
finally 376:16	374:4 376:19	generated 412:19	gradual 366:12
find 377:9	377:1 378:13	georgia 361:8	graduated 407:12
fine 393:16 407:21	379:2,5 381:9	getting 373:4	great 363:25
	382:4,9,15,19	382:5 389:25	
		302.3 309.23	

[grossly - intended]

grossly 415:5	403:3,11,13,14,25	held 360:18	impunity 385:5
h	404:7,12,22 405:3	368:17 379:23	inability 420:14
	405:18 406:7,14	403:17 408:17	inaccurate 372:12
h 423:1	406:17,22,25	410:23	414:12
h&h 377:17	407:7,11,15,22	hereinbefore	inaudible 372:3
379:14 391:19	408:1,6,8,11,22	422:8 424:9	393:8
392:10,24 398:8	409:2,5,9,13,16,20	hereunto 424:16	included 386:19
403:23 404:5,20	410:1,3,6,11,15,19	hipaa 392:2	401:23
405:1,16 409:11	410:20 411:4,12	394:17 401:14	including 373:3
409:18,24 410:1,9	411:18 412:3,6	413:8,15	391:15 397:17
411:11	414:8,19 415:2,12	hired 388:23	incomplete 402:9
hagan 361:3,5	415:15,17,22	404:25 405:5	402:10
363:12,16 364:1,8	416:1,5,9,14,17,21	418:19	incorrectly 367:17
364:13 365:20,22	416:25 417:3,6,14	history 400:7	increase 374:24
365:24 366:1,24	417:19,23 418:2,5	hold 374:5 378:1,1	increases 373:21
368:1,4,14,23	418:9,14 419:2,4,7	390:20,23 391:18	incremental
369:3,9,14 370:2	419:11,14,19,23	392:8,8,15,17	373:21
370:16,22 371:3,7	420:2,5,10,13,17	398:4,4,8,8 403:1	indicated 387:20
371:11,17 372:7	420:21,25 421:7	410:13 414:2,15	indicating 364:21
372:21,23 373:17	421:13	hollis 361:4	individual 390:6
374:4,6,12,13,16	hagen 379:15	hospital 425:2	individuals 390:5
374:18 376:4,19	hand 424:16	hospitals 360:7	390:9,11,13,16
376:21 377:1,14	happen 371:24	361:9 393:19,24	inference 417:17
377:21,23,25	372:18 373:11	hour 364:17,17	inferring 419:8
378:2,7,7,13,23	happened 367:21	421:12,15	information
379:2,5,17,18 380:9,13,22,25	376:10 394:8	hundred 381:11	376:13 377:11
381:9 382:4,9,15	397:18 400:23	i	423:11,12
382:19,22 383:11	418:23 419:18	idea 384:8,11	informed 395:13
383:16 384:3,14	happening 364:2	396:6	input 366:14
384:19,23 385:14	happens 397:14	ig 382:24 386:9,19	insisting 363:17
386:1,16 387:2,6	harassed 373:7	illegal 393:10	inspector 381:5,19
387:12 388:4,8,18	head 413:24	illegitimate 374:22	382:12 383:6,15
389:12 390:3,10	headhunters	374:24	384:1,13,17
390:15,17,22	400:6,15 401:19	imagine 393:10	385:13
390:13,17,22	health 360:7 361:9	impact 394:20	institution 391:23
393:2,14,20,25	366:8,9 393:19,24	impedes 392:5	instructed 411:19
395:1,11,22	425:2	impinge 372:2	instructing 406:7
398:11,19,25	hear 364:22 365:4	impression 409:23	406:17 411:14
399:2,5,9,11,17,21	402:22	improper 399:9	intelligent 420:1
399:23 401:5,21	heard 403:9	404:8,10,12 417:3	intended 360:8
402:8,11,18,20,23		101.0,10,12 717.3	361:10
702.0,11,10,20,23			

Page 7

[interactions - litigation]

Page 8

interactions 415:4	375:2,4	kept 375:23	404:11,12,13
415:5	judge 362:10	kidding 421:2	407:10,11
interest 386:8,13	374:15 407:17	kind 366:13,20	lawsuit 401:17,19
386:18 387:13	july 387:16 397:5	knew 384:11,22	layers 388:19
392:22 398:2	397:9 413:6 414:6	386:10 393:22	learned 384:17
interested 375:9	jury 418:12	know 364:16	385:11
400:20 424:14	419:17	365:6,9 371:25	leave 417:1,20,20
internally 389:2	justice 381:6	375:22 376:22	417:23 418:24
interrupt 379:19	382:17 383:2	377:11,14 378:10	419:7,11
interrupted	k	379:6,8,18 383:9	left 380:17
389:14	k 363:1 367:6,7	383:12,13 384:15	legal 394:9 419:12
interrupting 368:4	k 303.1 307.0,7 karen 390:19	384:16 385:8,10	420:19
404:16	katherine 395:12	385:18 386:7,24	legality 385:5
investigation	kaye 360:2,16	387:25 390:8	letter 374:8,15
383:9,13	361:4 363:7	392:10,24 393:19	395:8,13
investigative	364:20 365:6	396:1 397:10	letters 389:3
396:2 414:11	366:25 368:19	398:5,13 399:16	level 391:23
involved 366:19	372:11 374:2	399:17,17 400:16	413:18
389:2 401:4,9	375:12 377:15	400:22 403:1,1,2	license 387:24
involvement	378:3,8 380:1,14	403:23 406:4	388:1,2,10,16
373:10	385:25 389:14,18	409:11,25 410:10	389:1 392:6
irregular 385:1,2	390:24 393:18	411:10 413:22	394:19 396:11
irregularities	399:21 400:2	414:12 416:12	400:9 406:5 414:5
367:20	403:23 404:8,17	417:4 419:17	416:7
issue 373:3,9	406:7,13,19,21,25	knowing 391:24	licensed 394:21
375:6 414:23	408:7,12,20	knowledge 384:5	licensing 388:22
issues 375:6	409:21 410:8,25	385:20	392:25 394:2,15
376:15 378:12	411:5,10,22 413:3	knows 385:16	licensure 418:20
379:1,12,13	413:3 414:2,20,23	kronos 367:4,5,6	420:15
387:13 394:15	415:17 416:20,21	367:17 369:1,1,4	life 400:8
j	417:1,20 418:3	375:18	limited 368:9
j 405:11	419:5,7 420:8	l	line 423:17 425:5
jain 360:7 361:10	421:3 422:12	l 362:1,1 363:1	link 363:14,18,24
370:7,15 371:5	425:2,3,21	422:1	lisa 360:19 424:6
375:1 384:10	kaye's 363:10	langhorn 367:19	424:20
387:7,10	420:14	language 412:25	listed 390:5
january 380:7	keep 365:3 408:6	413:8 415:6	litigation 377:8,12
job 375:11 392:7	420:25 421:1	lasting 394:20	378:12 379:1,11
jobs 394:21	keeping 367:5	laugh 421:4	393:15,17 401:3
jonathan 360:7	kent 394:5 396:13	law 361:3 385:17	401:12 402:4,6 404:20 409:22
361:10 367:17,19	397:7,13	385:20 400:9	404.20 409.22

[litigation - new] Page 9

	T.	I	I
418:10	marriage 424:13	mentioned 394:10	409:9 420:9,11,13
little 411:7	matter 404:11,12	394:11,11,12	moving 367:2
llc 425:1	417:10,25 424:15	merged 372:6	368:8 399:19
logging 367:10	matters 366:2	merger 366:7,10	muir 370:7 413:7
longer 366:14,22	418:20	366:23 368:21,24	n
378:3	mcclaren 373:3,9	369:2,4,8,13,20,24	n 361:1 362:1
longevity 373:20	md 390:19 391:2,3	369:25 371:9,12	367:6 391:5
look 376:7 396:7	mean 377:6	371:16	405:11 422:1
397:7	378:15 379:3	met 375:24 397:7	423:5
looking 400:22	414:1	397:12	nadjari 394:10
losing 396:10	meaning 370:13	mexico 364:25	395:5 398:13,17
lost 396:3	397:17	387:24 388:3,11	400:3 405:7,10,13
lot 364:15 376:22	med 389:21	388:17 389:1,24	405:15,21 408:21
378:15,16 385:6	medical 387:24,25	393:1 398:18	409:4,11 415:11
m	388:2,10,16,20	400:5 404:25	415:14 417:9
m 361:8 363:1	392:3 400:5 402:7	405:5 406:5 414:5	name 363:6 391:4
magistrate 374:15	406:5 414:5	middle 393:15,17	394:11 400:15
mail 375:21	420:15	midst 409:22	425:2,3
376:18,24 378:11	meeting 367:17	minute 397:2	named 360:9
382:2,5,21,23	375:3,4,15,18,20	mirrored 386:8,10	361:11
383:1,2	375:25 380:7	miscategorized	names 360:8
mails 375:23	394:7 395:14,21	415:4,5	361:10
376:25 377:9,16	397:5,11,13,16,17	mischaracterizes	nassau 424:4
378:4,5,8 379:8	413:6	372:13 373:18	necessarily 377:15
395:25 396:1	meetings 367:14	405:4 412:13	need 398:14
malicious 392:3	370:4	mislead 420:19	405:24 406:1
398:1	melissa 360:2,16	mistake 367:8	421:13
malpractice 401:7	361:4 363:7	mocked 371:23	needed 366:18
401:8,10	422:12 425:2,3,21	373:1	391:10 392:24
man 372:2	member 375:11	moment 396:24	never 374:7,10
management	memo 413:4 414:5	monday 372:2	376:10 382:20
369:7	414:6	money 417:9	394:8 396:20
manhattan 370:13	memos 408:20	month 397:12	398:2,3,5,6,13
manner 385:4	409:4,12,19 410:2	months 373:8	new 360:1,7,20
401:12	410:9 412:22	moot 398:15,15	361:5,7,9,12,12
manufactured	413:6 414:3,25	morning 364:23	363:2 364:25
391:15	415:1 416:13	364:24,25	381:5,18 382:12
marginalized	417:13,15,22	motion 408:2,4	382:24 383:5,14
371:23 372:13,15	418:4	move 363:21	383:25 384:12,17
marked 423:16	menacing 413:7	373:12 406:10	385:12 387:24
marku 423.10		407:18 408:9	388:2,10,17 389:1
			300.2,10,17 309.1

[new - pestana] Page 10

389:24 392:25	395:11,22 398:11	396:5,21,23 397:8	paid 388:24
394:19 396:11	398:19 401:5,21	397:22 400:14,25	389:20 417:9
398:18 400:5,9	402:8,11,18,20,23	401:18,25 403:3	paperwork 376:9
404:25 405:5	403:9,25 404:7,22	404:4 405:25	389:24
406:5 414:5 424:3	405:3,18 408:22	412:14,20 413:13	part 390:7 392:25
424:7 425:1,2	409:13,20 410:3	413:15 415:8,10	393:18,24 400:25
non 380:18	410:11,20 411:4	415:15 418:7	participate 370:4
notary 360:20	411:12 412:3	419:25 421:9	participating
363:2 422:19	414:8 415:2	once 388:25	372:15
424:6 425:25	objections 362:16	ongoing 374:25	parties 362:5
note 389:13	obstructing 414:4	391:14	424:13
noticed 375:17	415:21	online 381:13,14	party 388:23
notices 397:3	obstructionism	381:17,21,22,24	389:20
412:11	413:12	382:1,8,13,18	pathos 395:12
number 378:8	obstructionist	383:7,10,14 384:2	412:18 413:4
nycers 373:22	399:25	384:12,18 385:13	414:5,12
0	obtain 402:12	387:1	pathos's 396:2
o 362:1 367:6,6	obtaining 389:3	operational	patient 392:2
405:10 422:1	office 376:9 381:6	366:13 370:5	patricia 360:7
o'clock 418:9	381:19 383:6,15	380:18	361:9
oath 362:9	384:1,13 385:13	operations 370:9	patsy 393:3
object 370:23	office's 384:18	order 360:17	pay 373:15,16,21
objected 370:22	offices 361:3	ordered 364:10,11	373:21 374:22,24
objection 365:20	officially 371:13	421:14	375:6,17 376:15
366:1,24 368:1,23	oh 391:6	orders 367:10	402:2
369:3,9,14 370:2	okay 365:5,9,17	original 362:7,13	paying 389:3
370:16 371:3,7,11	366:2 367:4,7,24	363:23	people 375:2
371:17 372:7,7,21	369:21 370:8	outcome 424:14	390:20 393:8
373:17 374:4	371:9,14 372:17	outside 388:23	413:1
376:4,19 377:1	372:19 373:12	overlap 386:22,22	percent 381:11
378:13,23 379:2,5	374:23 375:20,25	override 411:20	performance
380:22,25 381:9	376:11,17,24	oversee 371:5	371:24 392:20
382:4,9,15,19,22	378:6,24 379:9	overseeing 371:12	perpetuate 391:25
383:11,16 384:3	380:24 381:14,18	oversight 371:15	person 381:1
384:14,19,23	382:1 383:23	373:7	personnel 395:20
385:14 386:16	384:9,16,21 385:9	p	395:25 396:2,3,7
387:2,4,6,12 388:4	386:1,12,21,23	p 361:1,1 362:1	397:7
388:8,18 389:12	387:14,17,22	p.m. 360:13 416:1	persons 360:8
390:3,10,15,17	389:6,17 390:12	421:17	361:10
391:11,21 392:12	392:23 393:11,23	page 423:7,12,17	pestana 361:8
393:2,20,25 395:1	394:23 395:8	425:5	
373.2,20,23 373.1		120.0	

[phase - read] Page 11

phase 389:9,11,19	potential 394:18	proceed 389:1	383:17,20 386:2,2
389:20,23 400:25	practice 367:22	proceedings	386:4,5 387:3
phasing 380:5	386:14,19 387:15	368:17 379:23	389:16 394:24
phone 376:22,23	practicing 398:17	403:17 408:17	396:12 399:24
379:7 382:20	pre 395:6,9,14,20	410:23	400:25 402:5
phonetic 367:19	397:4 412:12,22	process 388:15	403:20,21 404:4,9
376:8 385:10	412:24	389:1,16 390:7	404:15 406:2,3,8
390:21 393:4	preclude 408:1	392:25 401:1	406:18,23 407:1,2
395:12 397:18	420:9,11	411:11	407:4,16,18
physical 392:1	precluded 407:19	produce 377:19	408:11,24 409:6
401:14 413:25	407:24 408:3	produced 421:15	409:17 411:6,8,9
physician 373:5	predictor 404:1	product 387:15	411:15,20,22,24
394:16 401:14	preliminary	professional 373:2	412:1,7 415:11,18
405:23,23 413:10	363:12	prompted 389:23	415:18,20,23
413:14 415:7	preparation	proper 387:4	416:23,25 417:7,8
physicians 394:14	365:14	419:12	423:17
place 422:8	prepare 365:18	properly 375:8	questions 365:7,10
plaintiff 360:3,16	pretty 384:25	403:24 404:6	378:16 381:10
361:3 377:25	385:2 393:21	405:2,17	400:14,20 403:5,7
planning 370:5	prior 363:12	protected 398:20	411:1 413:12
please 363:6	366:23 368:21,24	406:8	423:16
368:15 370:17,19	369:1,4,7,12,20	provide 363:20	r
374:19 378:7,7,17	386:18 401:2	364:6,11 371:19	r 360:19 361:1
379:19,20 383:19	private 367:22	379:4 381:7 390:6	362:1 367:6
385:23 386:2	386:14 387:15	provided 377:15	405:11 422:1
400:1 403:12,19	417:11	public 360:20	424:1,6,20
404:15 406:10,25	privilege 398:21	363:2 422:19	raised 376:2 402:2
407:1,9,18 410:19	398:22,23 399:2	424:6 425:25	rate 392:20
411:3,6,8,25 412:2	405:19 406:9,11	purposes 418:10	reach 392:23
412:5,9 417:1,20	406:14 407:3,6,6,7	pursuant 360:17	393:1,3,4,7,8,16
417:20 418:3	407:10 408:13,23	put 363:8 366:17	393:23 394:2
point 375:7	411:14,16 415:19	395:12,25 396:1	404:10,11,17
policy 367:23	419:24 420:6	q	405:1 406:3
386:14 387:15	privileged 377:4	queens 370:14	409:18
413:21	404:23 405:21	question 365:11	reached 393:14
political 385:6	408:25 409:2,7,8	366:4 367:25	404:4 409:11
politically 385:7	409:14,15 410:5,7	368:10,13 369:15	410:1,8 411:10
pose 374:9	418:21	369:18,22 370:12	read 366:6 370:18
posed 370:24	procedure 360:18	370:17,18,20,24	370:21 383:18,21
position 391:24	procedures 370:5	370:17,18,20,24	386:3,6 403:19,22
		376:5 380:10	413:21
		370.3 300.10	713.21

[realized - second] Page 12

realized 375:8	referred 370:20	representing	reviewing 418:4
really 366:19	383:20 386:5	394:14	ridiculous 418:22
reason 364:5	403:21	request 406:5	418:25 421:2
365:6,9 425:5	referring 366:8	requested 423:11	right 365:13,17
rebuttal 397:24	386:13,17 412:10	requesting 416:4	367:7,24 368:12
398:3	412:15,16,17	requests 390:5	374:2 380:6 382:6
recall 381:15,24	413:4,5	required 400:13	389:10 391:8
381:25 382:5	reflect 380:13	401:1,3,6	392:9 397:5
383:4,8 390:18	reflected 374:21	reserved 362:16	401:20 405:12
recapped 379:7	refuse 421:6	residencies 388:21	419:11
receipt 382:2	regarding 386:14	389:21	rise 413:17
receive 382:2	reiterate 411:6	resigned 376:16	risk 391:22 396:10
383:5 395:8 402:6	related 424:12	respective 362:5	role 371:19
received 363:10	relentless 402:13	respond 408:7,8	rule 385:8
373:15 383:1	relevant 401:13	responding 417:16	rules 360:18 394:1
394:9	removed 372:14	response 376:3	394:2
recess 408:19	reorganized 372:5	401:17 402:6	rulings 423:16
recommend	rep 396:18,19	410:25	S
405:15 406:3	397:17	responses 365:4	s 361:1 362:1,1
recommendation	repeat 383:17	responsible 371:1	363:1,1 367:6
389:4 390:2,4,7	repeatedly 366:15	373:6 413:1	423:1 425:5
recommendations	366:18	responsive 367:25	sabotaged 373:10
390:1,12 401:2	rephrase 369:18	368:2,3 380:19	saint 361:5
record 363:6,9,13	370:17,25 378:17	rest 392:5 400:8	salary 373:20,22
364:15 368:15,16	378:19	result 375:25	373:24
374:19 377:22	replaced 370:6	383:10,14	samantha 394:5
379:20,22,25	380:23	retained 399:13	396:13 397:13
380:13 385:24	report 386:20	417:9	sanctions 394:18
389:13 394:7	396:2 414:11	retaliated 393:9	santamaria
403:15,16 408:14	reported 387:8	retaliation 374:25	397:18
408:15,16 410:18	reporter 365:4	391:14,23 400:4	saying 375:3
410:21,22 412:8,9	370:21 379:20	400:11 402:14	404:14 412:14
424:10	383:21 386:6	retaliatory 392:22	says 395:20
recording 392:2	403:22	394:22 400:11,12	says 393.20 scanned 363:19
394:17 413:8,21	reporting 363:15	401:12,17,22	scheduling 370:4
records 398:9	363:25 364:3	404:3 413:24	school 388:21
rectify 364:2	387:19,21 425:1	retention 373:25	389:21 407:10,11
redefined 412:25	reports 367:11	returned 411:1	sealing 362:6
redirect 394:12	representation	review 376:10	searched 378:10
reduction 374:7	379:10,11	400:21	second 389:23
374:10			SCCOIIU 507.23

[secure - termination]

Page 13

secure 391:9	showed 415:24	380:5 388:12,15	surreptitious
see 378:9	416:8 417:4,13	starting 413:25	374:25
seen 373:4	sic 373:11	state 360:20 363:2	swenson 370:6
send 363:24	sight 367:9 369:6	363:6 381:5,18	371:1,14 373:5,5
382:21 383:2	369:7,12,20	382:12,24 383:5	401:15 413:10
391:25 416:23	signature 424:20	383:15,25 384:12	415:1,6
sent 379:8 382:6	signed 362:8,9,12	384:17 385:12	swenson's 371:19
382:23 388:22	sit 414:13	388:16 424:3,7	sworn 362:8 363:2
390:4	situation 385:7	stated 363:13	422:4,15 424:9
separate 379:11	smear 401:22	366:9	425:22
september 367:18	snow 365:15	statement 363:9	system 367:5,10
375:5,12,16 381:6	soon 367:21,21	372:12 418:17	369:7 376:8
serious 373:3	375:17	states 360:1	t
400:10,11 405:24	sorry 364:22	stay 416:20,21	t 362:1,1 422:1
415:9	365:15 367:7	step 388:23	423:1 424:1,1
seriously 413:13	381:16 412:8	stevenson 390:19	take 381:2 413:12
served 397:3,4,9	416:14	stipulated 362:4	421:5
413:6 414:10	soto 394:5 396:14	362:15	taken 360:17
servers 377:17	397:7	stop 367:24	363:11 366:10,11
service 362:12	sources 385:1,3	368:12 373:12	368:11 369:24
services 366:8,9	southern 360:1	374:2 378:7,7	370:5,6 372:5
371:20 380:17	speak 365:23	389:10 395:15,16	380:2,4,20,23,24
serving 413:24	417:10	404:15 417:19	389:5 408:19
set 375:22 424:9	speaking 413:10	straight 420:25	talk 386:12 400:19
424:16	special 361:3,5	421:1	talked 365:22
settlement 394:11	specialized 395:5	street 361:12	371:25
setup 375:18,20	specializes 394:14	367:19 397:6	talking 368:11
397:11	418:19	subject 377:7	374:3 379:16,17
share 398:24	specifically 388:17	subscribed 422:15	379:18 408:3
shared 366:15,19	412:17	425:22	414:3,24
366:21 368:20,21	specified 422:8	sued 377:4 401:7	target 402:1
368:24	spell 391:4 405:10	suggestive 387:3	teased 373:1
sheet 375:1 425:1	spelled 367:6	suing 379:13	tell 366:11 380:3
sherman 391:1	spirit 405:22	support 373:9	400:19 403:8
shift 380:1 short 408:19	spoken 373:1 ss 424:3	supposed 364:6	404:25 411:20,25
show 408:20 409:1	staff 366:16,16	373:20,22 409:23	417:17 420:23
409:4 415:11,14	380:2,3	sure 376:12 381:11 385:18	421:6
416:12 417:15,18	start 388:9	386:20	telling 417:24
417:21 417:13,18	started 367:21	surprised 384:20	420:3
420:23	371:12,13 375:14	384:21	termination 395:6
420.23	3/1.12,13 3/3.14	304.21	395:9,14,20 397:4

[termination - want] Page 14

412:12,12,22,24	thought 364:3	turn 376:25 377:9	V
412:24	365:16 413:23	378:24 379:3	v 425:2
terms 391:9	three 373:8 390:9	421:12	vendor 388:24
terrific 365:13	390:11,13,16	turnaround	389:20
testified 363:3	400:23 418:9	421:15	verification 389:4
366:9 368:19,25	time 360:13,19	turned 377:8,11	390:1 391:9,10,20
369:5,24 371:4	362:16 367:5,17	378:11 413:24	392:25 402:12
372:19 373:14	368:12 375:1	two 363:11 381:1	403:24 404:6,18
374:7 380:2 381:4	394:10 401:6,9	381:10 386:25	405:2,17 406:6
386:15 387:23	422:8	394:3 400:14	411:11
396:14 420:18,21	timekeeping	401:1 402:14	verifications 401:2
testify 366:7	367:20	412:21 414:3,9,13	
396:17 419:4,22	times 400:23	414:23,24 421:14	verify 392:11,19 402:16
420:4 422:4	timing 396:25	typed 379:7	veritext 425:1
testifying 372:9	today 364:4,12,17	u	vicious 404:3
407:20,25 410:15	364:20 365:7,10		victim 401:22
410:16,17 416:7	365:14,15,19	u 362:1 405:10	videoconference
417:19 419:14,15	told 363:16 387:19	unable 387:24,25	360:19
419:20	394:5,7,13 395:5	unclear 365:12	
testimony 366:3,5	396:3,8,20 397:4	undermined	violating 392:2
373:18 374:9	397:12 398:12	371:23	394:17 413:8,15
405:4 420:12	404:19,21 405:22	understand	violations 386:18
422:4,7 424:10	412:21 413:23	365:10 370:11,11	401:15
thank 364:19	416:10 420:22	393:13 396:12	voice 365:3
369:21 370:11	topic 373:14	412:14	W
381:3 392:15	topics 380:1	union 367:20	w 373:23 374:21
406:20,20 421:11	transcript 363:10	375:5,7,8,11,16,21	wait 397:1
things 366:17	366:6 421:13	375:22 376:1,2,9	waived 362:7
395:5	422:6,7	376:11,14 377:3,4	wangel 360:7
think 367:6,18	trial 362:17	377:5 378:12,20	361:10 367:18
373:13 376:8	407:20,25	378:25 379:10,13	375:3,5,10,19,22
377:15 379:15	tried 373:2 389:15	394:7 395:13	376:1,7,12 385:10
384:25 385:6	413:20	396:18,19 397:4,6	385:11 386:9,25
388:24 390:19,20	trouble 373:7	397:17,23 412:21	387:9,20,21
390:25 391:2,22	387:20 400:9	413:7	want 363:8 364:16
396:24 397:2	true 377:15 422:7	united 360:1	364:17 366:2,4
418:22 420:8	424:10	unlawful 360:8	378:10 385:22
third 388:23	truth 422:4	361:11 404:3	387:25 392:10
389:20 394:10	truthfully 365:7	unsigned 362:10	393:18 396:24
396:10 414:10	trying 368:5 374:9	use 412:23	399:15,17 402:22
	- Jang 500.5 571.7		407:21 410:17

[want - zoom] Page 15

411:17 412:22	404:6,18 405:2,17	_
416:12 417:4	406:6 411:11	Z
wants 398:23	worked 371:22	zoom 365:22
warnings 412:22	394:25	
water 367:19	working 367:21	
397:6	375:2	
wave 406:12,14	works 378:3	
411:17	write 377:10	
way 370:24 372:25	391:25 397:24	
373:1 382:7,10,11	398:3 416:4	
383:24 385:3	writing 395:17,19	
393:10 415:3,3	415:6	
424:14	written 373:4	
we've 409:9	wrong 396:25	
weeks 363:11	wrote 413:13,14	
421:14	414:18 415:3	
welcome 416:19	414.10 413.3	
went 396:7 397:6	X	
397:10,10,12	x 360:2,10 423:1,5	
415:4	\mathbf{y}	
whereof 424:16	y 363:1	
william 394:5	yain 367:16	
396:14	yang 360:7 361:9	
winkler 371:25	375:2,10 384:16	
372:1,20 380:6,8	393:3	
380:12,16,17,20	yeah 385:7 391:7	
wish 399:11	year 388:12,14	
witness 362:8,12	397:19	
362:13 363:1	years 394:4	
399:15 411:23	402:14	
419:16,22,23	yep 401:10	
420:22 421:18	york 360:1,7,20	
424:8,11,16	361:5,7,9,12,12	
witnesses' 425:3	363:3 381:5,18	
word 395:6,6	382:12,24 383:5	
412:11,12,22	383:14,25 384:12	
work 371:20,21,23	384:17 385:12	
389:4 390:1 391:9	394:19 396:11	
391:10,19 392:6	400:9 424:3,7	
392:24 401:2	425:1,2	
402:12 403:24	,	
102.12 103.27		
-	•	

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.